Judicial Conduct Board
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Robert A. Graci, Chief Counsel
717-234-7911

Press Release

September 19, 2014

TO: **Media/Press**

FROM: **Judicial Conduct Board**

SUBJECT: **Robert Mulgrew**
Judge of the Philadelphia Traffic Court
Philadelphia County
3 JD 2014

Harrisburg. The Judicial Conduct Board today filed formal charges by Board Complaint in the Court of Judicial Discipline against Philadelphia Traffic Court Judge Robert Mulgrew. The Board Complaint alleges violations of the Pennsylvania Code of Judicial Conduct and the Pennsylvania Constitution.

Under the Pennsylvania Constitution, Judge Mulgrew, as the subject of the charges, is presumed innocent in all proceedings before the Court of Judicial Discipline. The Judicial Conduct Board has the burden of proving the charges filed in the Court of Judicial Discipline by clear and convincing evidence.

In accordance with the rules which govern proceedings before the Court of Judicial Discipline, Judge Mulgrew has an opportunity to respond to the charges, obtain and inspect the evidence which forms the basis of the allegations, and the right to a public trial before the Court of Judicial Discipline.

Upon completion of the trial, if the Court determines that the charges have been proven by clear and convincing evidence, it will schedule a Sanctions Hearing to determine what sanctions should be imposed. Possible sanctions include reprimand, suspension, or removal from office.

Counsel

Board: Francis J. Puskas II, Deputy Chief Counsel

Respondent: Angela R. Halim, Esq.

Contact
Robert A. Graci, Chief Counsel

Board Complaint attached.
For more information about the Judicial Conduct Board, please visit our website at www.jcbpa.org.

END

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

RECEIVED AND FILED
COURT OF
JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE
OF PENNSYLVANIA
2014 SEP 19 P 12: 40

IN RE:

Robert Mulgrew Traffic Court Judge Philadelphia County	: : : :	3 JD 14

IMPORTANT NOTICE

TO: ROBERT MULGREW:

You are hereby notified that the Pennsylvania Judicial Conduct Board has determined there is probable cause to file formal charges against you for conduct proscribed by Article V, §17(b) and §18(d)(1) of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges. The Board's counsel will present the case in support of the charges before the Pennsylvania Court of Judicial Discipline.

You have an absolute right to be represented by a lawyer in all proceedings before the Court of Judicial Discipline. Your attorney should file an entry of appearance with the Court of Judicial Discipline within fifteen (15) days of service of this Board Complaint in accordance with C.J.D.R.P. No. 110.

You are hereby notified, pursuant to C.J.D.R.P. No. 302(B), that should you elect to file an omnibus motion, that motion should be filed

no later than thirty (30) days after the service of this Complaint in accordance with C.J.D.R.P. No. 411.

You are further hereby notified that within thirty (30) days after the service of this Complaint, if no omnibus motion is filed, or within twenty (20) days after the dismissal of all or part of the omnibus motion, you may file an Answer admitting or denying the allegations contained in this Complaint in accordance with C.J.D.R.P. No. 413. Failure to file an Answer shall be deemed a denial of all factual allegations in the Complaint.

COMPLAINT

AND NOW, this 19th day of September 2014, comes the Judicial Conduct Board of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (hereinafter "Board") and files this Board Complaint against the Honorable Robert Mulgrew (hereinafter "Judge Mulgrew"), Judge of the Philadelphia Traffic Court, First Judicial District, Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, alleging that the Judge Mulgrew has violated the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges and Article V, §17(b) and §18(d)(1) of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as more specifically delineated herein.

1. Article V, §18 of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania grants the Board authority to determine whether there is probable cause to file formal charges, and, when it

concludes that probable cause exists, to file formal charges against a justice, judge, or justice of the peace for proscribed conduct and to present the case in support of such charges before the Court of Judicial Discipline.

2. Judge Mulgrew served continuously as a duly elected judge on the Philadelphia Traffic Court, First Judicial District, Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, from January 2008 until he was suspended in 2012.

3. As a duly elected Judge of the Philadelphia Traffic Court, Judge Mulgrew is subject to the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges adopted by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

4. Judge Mulgrew was suspended from his judicial duties with pay by Order of the Court of Judicial Discipline dated September 14, 2012, and thereafter suspended without pay by Order of the Supreme Court dated September 19, 2012.

5. Judge Mulgrew was the subject of a federal investigating grand jury investigation regarding his alleged misappropriation of grant funds provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Economic Development and his violation of federal tax laws.

6. On August 28, 2012, Judge Mulgrew was indicted by the federal grand jury at *United States of America v. Robert Mulgrew*, Criminal No. 2:12-CR-00462-CDJ-1, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. By this Grand Jury Indictment, Judge Mulgrew was charged with 30 felony counts of Mail Fraud, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1349; one felony count of Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1349; one felony count of Tax Evasion, 26 U.S.C. § 7201; five felony counts of Filing False Federal Income Tax Returns, 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1); and one felony count of Obstructing the Administration of the Internal Revenue Laws, 26 U.S.C. § 7212. A true and correct certified copy of the Grand Jury Indictment is attached and marked as **"Board Exhibit A."**

7. On September 19, 2013, Judge Mulgrew pled guilty to three felony offenses, namely Mail Fraud, Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, and Filing a False Federal Income Tax Return. A true and correct certified copy of the Guilty Plea is attached hereto and marked as **"Board Exhibit B."**

8. On August 6, 2014, United States District Judge C. Darnell Jones II sentenced Judge Mulgrew to 30 months of incarceration followed by 3 years of probation and ordered Judge Mulgrew to

pay restitution in the amount of $199,000 and $123,000 owed to the Internal Revenue Service in back taxes and fines. True and correct certified copies of the Judgment and Sentencing Order and the docket entries for *United States of America v. Robert Mulgrew*, Criminal No. 2:12-CR-00462-CDJ-1, are attached and marked respectively as **"Board Exhibits C and D."**

9. By virtue of his guilty plea and sentencing, Judge Mulgrew is now a convicted felon.

By virtue of some, or all of the facts collectively, alleged in paragraphs 1 through 9 of this Board Complaint, Judge Mulgrew is subject to discipline pursuant to Article V, §18(d)(1) of the Pennsylvania Constitution for the following reasons:

Count 1: Judge Mulgrew has violated Rule 13 of the Rules Governing Standards of Conduct of Magisterial District Judges, which provides, in part, that

> *"Magisterial district judges. . .shall not engage, directly or indirectly, in any activity or act incompatible with the expeditious, proper and impartial discharge of their duties, including, but not limited to, (1) in any activity prohibited by law. . ."*

Count 2: Judge Mulgrew has violated Article V, §18(d)(1) of the Pennsylvania Constitution by virtue of his being convicted of a felony.

Count 3: Judge Mulgrew has violated Article V, §18(d)(1) of the Pennsylvania Constitution by engaging in conduct which brings the judicial office into disrepute.

Count 4: Judge Mulgrew has violated Article V, §17(b) by virtue of violating Rule 13(1), a rule prescribed by the Supreme Court governing magisterial district judges.

WHEREFORE, Robert Mulgrew, a Philadelphia Traffic Court Judge, is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Article V, §18(d)(1).

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. GRACI
Chief Counsel

DATE: September 19, 2014

BY: _____
Francis J. Puskas II
Deputy Chief Counsel
Pa. Supreme Court ID No. 76540

Judicial Conduct Board
Pennsylvania Judicial Center
601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 3500
P.O. Box 62525
Harrisburg, PA 17106
(717) 234-7911

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

IN RE:

Robert Mulgrew Traffic Court Judge Philadelphia County	: : : :	3 JD 14

VERIFICATION

I, Francis J. Puskas II, Deputy Chief Counsel to the Judicial Conduct Board, verify that the Judicial Conduct Board found probable cause to file the formal charges contained in the Board Complaint. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT A. GRACI
Chief Counsel

DATE: September 19, 2014

BY: _____
Francis J. Puskas II
Deputy Chief Counsel
Pa. Supreme Court ID No. 76540

Judicial Conduct Board
Pennsylvania Judicial Center
601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 3500
P.O. Box 62525
Harrisburg, PA 17106
(717) 234-7911

EXHIBIT "A"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	**CRIMINAL NO. 12-_____**
v.	:	**DATE FILED: August 28, 2012**
ROBERT MULGREW	:	**VIOLATIONS:**
LORRAINE DISPALDO		**18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1349 (mail fraud**
ELIZABETH MULGREW	:	**– 30 counts)**
		18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1349 (wire fraud
	:	**- 1 count)**
		26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) (filing false federal
	:	**income tax returns – 9 counts)**
		26 U.S.C. § 7201 (tax evasion - 1 count)
	:	**18 U.S.C. § 152 (bankruptcy fraud - 1**
		count)
	:	**26 U.S.C. § 7212 (obstructing the**
		administration of the internal revenue
	:	**laws - 1 count)**
		18 U.S.C. § 2 (aiding and abetting)

INDICTMENT

COUNTS ONE THROUGH THIRTY

MAIL FRAUD
18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1349 and 2

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

Introduction

At all times relevant to this indictment:

1. Defendant ROBERT MULGREW was the Vice-President of the Friends of Dickinson Square ("FDS"), a non-profit civic organization described below. Defendant MULGREW was also an employee of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 98, and, as of January 2008, an elected Traffic Judge in Philadelphia.

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED FROM THE RECORD
DATED: 08/11/14
ATTEST: Margaret R. Stipa
DEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

2. Defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO was the Secretary/Treasurer of the Community to Police Communications ("CPC"), a non-profit civic organization described below. Defendant DISPALDO was also a Pennsylvania state employee working as a legislative aide to W.K., an elected member of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania House of Representatives. DISPALDO worked in W.K.'s office at 1531 S. 2nd Street, Philadelphia, PA, and operated CPC from there.

Department of Community and Economic Development and Grants to FDS and CPC

3. The Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development ("DCED") was an agency of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania which awarded grants to non-profit community and civic organizations. Between 1996 and 2008, DCED awarded hundreds of thousands of dollars in grants to FDS and CPC. FDS received eight grants totaling approximately $465,000. Five of those grants, totaling approximately $295,000, were received between 2002 and 2006. CPC received thirteen grants totaling $397,000. Five of those grants, totaling approximately $260,000, were received between 2004 and 2008.

4. After approximately 2002, DCED awarded grants to FDS with the understanding that the grants were to be used to purchase equipment and materials for the maintenance of Dickinson Square Park ("the park") at 4th & Tasker Streets, Philadelphia, and surrounding neighborhood revitalization. Defendant ROBERT MULGREW signed the FDS grant contracts with DCED.

5. After approximately 2004, DCED awarded grants to CPC with the understanding that the grants were to be used to purchase communications equipment for the police and to purchase materials to secure vacant lots and buildings for the protection of the

police. Defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO signed the CPC grant contracts with DCED.

The fraud committed against the DCED

6. As described in this indictment, throughout the process of securing the DCED funds and managing their expenditure, defendants ROBERT MULGREW and LORRAINE DISPALDO made misrepresentations to DCED. The defendants claimed that they would spend grant funds solely to purchase equipment and materials for neighborhood revitalization and improved communications with the police. Contrary to their claims and contrary to what they committed themselves to do under the terms of contracts they signed with DCED, defendants MULGREW and DISPALDO instead paid tens of thousands of dollars in grant funds to MULGREW'S relatives and associates, including the teenage sons of his friends, and to W.K.'s life-long friends, for work purportedly done on behalf of FDS and CPC. In order to create the impression that grant funds were being used in a concerted effort to maintain the park and surrounding neighborhoods and to secure property to protect the police – consistent with the reasons DCED authorized the grants – defendants MULGREW and DISPALDO often created "make work" projects as a pretext for paying relatives and associates with grant funds. Moreover, defendant DISPALDO often improperly used grant resources to address routine cleanup requests from W.K.'s constituents. After distributing the funds, defendants MULGREW and DISPALDO supplied false and misleading information to DCED to conceal the actual amount of grant funds which they paid to the relatives and associates contrary to the express purposes of the grant.

7. Defendants ROBERT MULGREW and LORRAINE DISPALDO also spent thousands of dollars of grant funds for their own personal uses. In addition, defendant

MULGREW improperly reimbursed himself from FDS funds for thousand of dollars of expenditures which he claimed were incurred by FDS, but actually were not, and for his expenditures for items not authorized under the terms of the FDS grants.

The Friends Of Dickinson Square and Community to Police Communications

8. The Friends of Dickinson Square (FDS) was an all-volunteer group which removed graffiti and cleaned Dickinson Square and the surrounding neighborhood in Philadelphia. In the 1990's D.R., the owner of a small business which was located at 1533 S. 2nd Street, Philadelphia, next door to W.K.'s office, began coordinating the efforts of the Friends of Dickinson Square. Eventually, D.R. met defendant ROBERT MULGREW and W.K. through this neighborhood group, and the three coordinated subsequent volunteer efforts for the neighborhood. At various times in the late 1990's, W.K. obtained small state grants which funded FDS's group volunteer efforts. In July 1999, D.R. applied on behalf of FDS for non-profit status with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and received an Employer Identification Number from them.

9. In applying for grants from the DCED, D.R. used the following description of FDS and the intended use of grant funds:

> FDS is a non-profit organization that is dedicated to the maintenance of Dickinson Square Park and improvements of the neighborhood that surround the park. The grant money given will insure rehabbing and low level maintenance of community gardens; neighborhood green projects and stabilization of abandoned properties. Members devote many hours towards anti-graffiti activities and turning abandoned lots into green space, throughout the area. We will also use the funds to purchase fencing material, park benches (for green space and lots) anti-graffiti remover, painting equipment, gardening equipment and landscape materials.

10. D.R. signed all of the written FDS grant applications at the 2nd Street office offices of W.K., where he understood they were prepared. Each application noted that the requested funds were to be used for community development/revitalization, and left blank blocks in which applicants were to include proposed budget expenditures for salaries and professional services. Instead, each application identified the proposed expenditures for community revitalization in a category for "other." None of the grant applications requested authority to use the grant funds to pay individuals stipends or salaries.

11. Before receiving grant funds from DCED, defendant ROBERT MULGREW signed contracts on behalf of FDS which required him to spend grant funds for the activities described in the FDS applications and approved by DCED. These contracts included Appendices which set out the approved activities and expenditures which defendant MULGREW committed himself to follow. Specifically, the contracts permitted the following: buying equipment and spending money to maintain community gardens and neighborhood green projects; buying equipment and spending money to stabilize abandoned properties and turn abandoned lots into green space, and buying fencing, park benches, ant-graffiti materials, and painting, gardening, and landscape materials. Funds were also authorized to purchase a dump truck and lease pickups trucks for FDS activities. None of the contracts authorized expenditures for stipends or salaries. None of the FDS contracts authorized expenditure of grant funds for personal uses.

12. Defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO applied for grants on behalf of CPC in her own name, and in the name of D.V., who was not involved with CPC. Defendant DISPALDO used the following description for CPC in state grant applications:

> Community to Police Communication Systems, a non profit Corporation, which seeks to address the longstanding complaints of many Philadelphia residents of the time it takes the Philadelphia Police Department to respond to telephone calls through 911 systems.
>
> The Community to Police Communication Systems intentions is to supply the police department with direct contact to the community by advance mobile technology. The mobile phones are distributed to Police Captains, Lieutenants and Sergeants also to the beat cops, patrol cars and wagons in the South Philadelphia Police Departments. We have also coordinated police bicycle patrol units in the same districts. Through the use of the cellular phones and bicycle units and between the coordination of the police district and community member's response time to "non-violent" situations will be greatly reduced. Also, money is provided to secure vacant lots and buildings to better protect our officers. The funds cover the ongoing monthly mobile phone bills and any necessary equipment that needs to be updated or upgraded.
>
> The following uses: ongoing monthly mobile phone bills, mobile phones, airtime, maintenance, batteries, chargers, bicycle & bicycle equipments, vests, vehicle code books and any fencing, landscaping, and miscellaneous expenses.

13. None of the CPC grant applications requested authority to use the grant funds to pay individuals stipends or salaries. The applications signed by defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO contained the following language: "I hereby certify that all information contained in this document and attachments are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. If I knowingly make a false statement . . . to obtain a grant . . . I may be subject to criminal prosecution."

14. Before receiving grant funds from DCED, defendant DISPALDO signed each contract with DCED on behalf of CPC and committed herself to use the grant funds for purposes consistent with the applications she made and the description she created for the group. That is, she agreed to spend grant funds on phones and communications equipment for the police, and on fencing, landscaping and other expenses to be used to secure vacant lots and

buildings to protect the police. No funds were authorized for CPC to pay stipends or salaries. None of the CPC contracts authorized expenditure of grant funds for personal uses. Because the DCED contracts required two signatures on behalf of CPC, defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO forged on each contract the signature of D.V., representing him to be the President or Chief Executive Officer of CPC. At the time, D.V. was not associated with CPC. Defendant DISPALDO received the CPC grant funds from DCED, and controlled the CPC checkbook, payments, and accounts from W.K.'s office.

DCED Review of Expenditures and Close Out Reports

15. DCED required all grant recipients to submit "closeout" reports which identified and documented expenditures in the full amount of the grant. As recipients of grant funds from DCED on behalf of their organizations, defendants ROBERT MULGREW and LORRAINE DISPALDO were required by DCED to submit these reports. DCED could suspend the grant contracts for misuse of funds or failure to submit required reports, and could also compel defendants MULGREW and DISPALDO to repay all or a portion of granted funds if DCED determined that they had used funds improperly. On the reports they submitted, defendants MULGREW and DISPALDO falsely certified that the information in them was true and correct and that grant funds were used for the purposes described in the grant proposal and approved by DCED.

16. From in or about 2002 through in or about August 2010, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW
and
LORRAINE DISPALDO

devised and intended to devise a scheme to defraud the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.

MANNER AND MEANS

It was part of the scheme that:

17. Defendants ROBERT MULGREW and LORRAINE DISPALDO took control of the management and operation of FDS and relegated D.R. to a purely figurehead position as FDS Director/President. After gaining control of FDS, defendants MULGREW and DISPALDO caused D.R. to pre-sign FDS's checks in blank after FDS received DCED grants from approximately 2002 until approximately mid-2009. Defendant MULGREW retained the FDS checkbook and co-signed the FDS checks with D.R. until approximately May 2008, by which time he had become a Traffic Court judge. Starting in May 2008, defendant DISPALDO retained the FDS checkbook and began co-signing the FDS checks with D.R.

18. By obtaining D.R.'s signature on blank checks and maintaining control of the FDS checkbook, defendants ROBERT MULGREW and LORRAINE DISPALDO enabled themselves to pay whomever they wanted and for items not authorized under the state grants without D.R.'s knowledge and without further scrutiny. Neither defendants MULGREW nor DISPALDO informed D.R. that they issued checks to individuals as payment for work completed on behalf of FDS. As a consequence, D.R. was unaware that individuals were paid with grant funds by FDS, which he believed continued to be a volunteer organization which spent grant funds for materials and equipment only.

Misuse of Grant Funds to Pay Stipends and Make Other Payments

19. Defendants ROBERT MULGREW and LORRAINE DISPALDO repeatedly signed grant contracts in which they committed themselves to spend grant funds solely on equipment and materials for neighborhood revitalization, as described above in this indictment. Despite their commitments, they improperly used grant funds to pay stipends and make other payments to relatives of defendant MULGREW and friends and associates of defendant MULGREW and WK, and, at times, justified the expenditures by creating "make work" projects for the associates and by assigning them to perform "constituent services" for W.K.'s benefit. Neither defendant informed DCED that they intended to pay stipends or make other payments to the friends, relatives or associates of defendant MULGREW and W.K.

20. The persons defendants ROBERT MULGREW and LORRAINE DISPALDO improperly paid with grant funds included the following: R.McK., defendant MULGREW'S nephew; R.Mu., defendant MULGREW'S son; W.S., a lifelong friend of W.K.; and R.McS., a lifelong friend of W.K.

21. Defendant ROBERT MULGREW also used grant funds to hire the teenage sons of friends and associates for summer work on behalf of FDS, which employment was not necessary and not authorized under the terms of the grants.

22. Even though none of the CPC grants authorized payment of wages or stipends to workers, defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO periodically issued CPC checks to pay some of the same FDS workers. These payments were most frequently made after FDS had depleted its grant funds.

23. In addition to paying relatives and associates stipends, defendant

ROBERT MULGREW created a fictitious business entity to facilitate payments FDS made to W.S., the lifelong friend of W.K., by characterizing W.S. as a "vendor" who ostensibly completed "landscaping" work on behalf of FDS. To justify these expenditures to DCED, defendant ROBERT MULGREW instructed W.S. to fill out and backdate numerous false invoices which defendant MULGREW created and supplied to him. These fictitious invoices were titled "W. Sullivan Landscaping, No Job To (sic) Small, 6th & Wolf Sts," a non-existent entity nominally located at W.S.'s mother's home. Defendant MULGREW then submitted the false invoices to DCED to justify the payments to W.S., who did not provide services to justify the $45,575 he was paid during 2003 through approximately September 2006. Defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO also paid W.S. an additional $7,950 during the same time period from CPC grant funds, but did not report the payments to W.S. on CPC closeout reports she supplied to DCED.

Misuse of Community Development Grant Funds to Pay For Personal Items

24. Defendant ROBERT MULGREW wrongfully spent FDS grant funds to lease pickup trucks which he used almost exclusively for his personal needs during 2002 through early 2008. Defendant MULGREW caused FDS to make one down payment of at least $9,000 on one of the trucks, and to make numerous lease and insurance payments concerning the trucks. In total, FDS paid more than $46,000 for pickup trucks defendant MULGREW regularly used as his primary means of transportation. For a time, defendant MULGREW permitted one of his family members to use one of the trucks for the family member's personal needs.

25. Defendant ROBERT MULGREW also spent FDS grant funds to purchase services and items for his or other individuals' personal use. These services and items included

expenditures for work boots, an $827 camera, extermination services, cigarettes, waterfall equipment, and personal telephone services. Defendant MULGREW also spent thousands of dollars in FDS grant funds on unauthorized matters which, while of no personal benefit to himself, benefitted organizations or other individuals with whom he was associated. These expenditures included real estate taxes, a $300 rent payment for landscaper W.S., and a $1,200 charitable donation to the Two Street 5K run.

26. In addition to spending FDS funds for his own benefit, defendant ROBERT MULGREW wrote thousands of dollars in FDS "reimbursement" checks to himself for what he claimed, falsely, were legitimate FDS expenditures. Some of these receipts and bills were for personal expenditures and not authorized under the grants and some were not generated during authorized FDS grant activities. By writing unjustified reimbursement checks to himself, defendant MULGREW effectively stole thousands of dollars of FDS grant funds.

27. Defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO also improperly paid W.K.'s office cleaner and errand runner more than $12,700 from CPC funds during 2006 to 2010, none of which she reported to DCED. In addition, defendant DISPALDO submitted altered invoices to DCED to conceal her payment of over $4,600 in CPC funds for her personal cell phone.

Misrepresentations in Close Out Reports

28. Despite that fact that payment of stipends was not authorized by DCED, defendant ROBERT MULGREW reported some stipend payments to persons on FDS closeout reports he submitted. On each closeout report, defendant MULGREW certified that the grant funds were used for the purposes described in the grant proposal and as approved by DCED. However, defendant MULGREW regularly submitted false close-out reports which

misrepresented the amount of the stipends paid and concealed the total payments made. For example, among others misrepresentations on FDS closeout reports, defendant MULGREW claimed that his nephew, R.M., had been paid a total of $9,765 in stipends by FDS when, in fact, FDS had paid $26,168 to the nephew. In addition, defendant MULGREW claimed that his son, R.M., had been paid a total of $3,685 in stipends by FDS when, in fact, FDS had paid R.M. a total of $9,169.

29. On CPC closeout reports, defendant DISPALDO claimed that defendant ROBERT MULGREW'S nephew, R.M., was paid a total of $1,875 by CPC when, in fact, CPC paid $15,904 to the nephew. In addition, defendant DISPALDO reported no payments to defendant MULGREW'S son, R.M., when CPC had paid him $1,225. Defendant MULGREW reported none of the CPC payments to FDS workers on the FDS close-out reports he supplied to DCED. Defendant DISPALDO concealed more than $48,000 in payments she made from CPC funds to the relatives of MULGREW and to the associates of MULGREW and of her employer W.K. by not reporting them on the CPC closeout reports.

30. In summary, defendants ROBERT MULGREW and LORRAINE DISPALDO reported to DCED that FDS and CPC together had paid a total of approximately $51,520 to individuals in stipends when, in fact, FDS and CPC together paid a total of approximately $119,933 to those individuals.

31. To conceal the fact that FDS paid tens of thousands of dollars more in stipends to his relatives and associates than he had disclosed to DCED, and to conceal the fact that he had paid himself unjustified reimbursements, defendant ROBERT MULGREW consistently submitted false close out reports which included thousands of dollars worth of

receipts and invoices which he claimed documented FDS purchases but which, in fact, did not. These included numerous cash gas purchase and dumping fee receipts which were not generated during authorized FDS grant activities. Defendant MULGREW also submitted more than $5,000 in invoices for materials which were purchased by CPC, not FDS. Defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO did not disclose the payment of these invoices on CPC's closing reports. On each closeout report documenting false reimbursements and misuses of FDS funds, and including invoices not related to legitimate FDS activities, defendant MULGREW falsely certified that the grant funds were used for the purposes described in the grant proposal and approved by DCED.

32. To conceal the fact that she too had written undisclosed paychecks to individuals from CPC funds, and to conceal her own theft of CPC funds, defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO supplied DCED with duplicate receipts and invoices on the CPC closeout reports she supplied to DCED. That is, on later grant closeout reports DISPALDO provided DCED with the exact same expense invoices and receipts which she supplied the state on earlier close-out reports. On the final closeout report submitted by defendant DISPALDO, more than two-thirds of the invoices supplied to DCED to justify the final grant expenditures were the same invoices the defendant had previously submitted to justify earlier grants. DISPALDO submitted some invoices with three different closeout reports. Of approximately $258,000 in CPC expenses documented for DCED by defendant DISPALDO, more than $105,000 of those expenses were simply duplicates of expenses previously submitted to DCED.

33. To conceal his theft of thousands of dollars in FDS grant funds, defendant ROBERT MULGREW submitted specific receipts and bills to DCED to justify the FDS checks he wrote to himself. These FDS checks reimbursed defendant MULGREW for what he claimed

were legitimate FDS expenditures he paid from personal funds. As noted earlier in this indictment, some of these reimbursements were for personal expenditures and not authorized under the grants and some were not generated during authorized FDS grant activities. The expenditures included the following: impermissible food purchases for himself and others, cash purchases of fuel, (including multiple nighttime purchases near MULGREW's sister-in-law's home in Wayne, Pa., and other locations outside Philadelphia), parking fees, tolls, pickup truck expenses, Christmas tree purchases, work boots, and numerous expenses incurred by defendant MULGREW while he was on Local 98 business.

34. In an attempt to falsely justify the payment of stipends, defendant ROBERT MULGREW supplied DCED with false IRS Forms 1099, Miscellaneous income, showing that several persons had received stipend payment from FDS. These 1099s did not accurately report the sums of money paid to these persons, were never provided to these persons, and were not filed with the Internal Revenue Service, as required by law.

35. Defendant ROBERT MULGREW never completed or filed IRS Forms 990, Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax, with the IRS on behalf of FDS, as required by law, and never furnished IRS Forms 1099, Miscellaneous income, to individuals to whom FDS made in excess of $600 in payments in any one year, as required by law.

36. After defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO assumed co-signing responsibility on FDS checks in approximately May 2008, she did not create and furnish IRS Forms 1099 to the individuals to whom she signed FDS checks in excess of $600, and did not complete and file IRS Forms 990, Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax, as required by law. Defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO distributed false IRS Forms 1099 to individuals to

whom she had supplied CPC checks. These 1099s did not report all of the funds CPC had paid the persons.

Misrepresentations to DCED Staff

37. Defendant ROBERT MULGREW also made false statements to DCED personnel to further the scheme to improperly use grant funds and to conceal the scheme from authorities. When questioned by a DCED representative about extensive WAWA and other miscellaneous food receipts submitted to DCED in closing reports, defendant ROBERT MULGREW claimed that these were food costs for FDS "volunteers." Despite the fact that numerous checks from FDS and signed by MULGREW to individuals contained a notation for "payroll," defendant MULGREW told the DCED representative that paying for the volunteers' food was "my way of paying them."

38. At times, after being questioned about grant expenditures by DCED personnel, defendant ROBERT MULGREW submitted affidavits which falsely proclaimed that all funds received under the grant were expended for goods and services expressly permitted under the terms of the grant. These affidavits were notarized by defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO.

39. On one occasion, after receiving a DCED letter questioning the legitimacy of some FDS expenditures, defendant ROBERT MULGREW supplied an affidavit from W.S. which falsely proclaimed that he had done gardening work for FDS for years and completed a number of other tasks in preparation for "others to do their volunteer work."

40. On one occasion, after CPC was informed that its closeout report was rejected because DCED could not reconcile approximately $12,600 of the reported expenses,

defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO sent a response to DCED which included a number of invoices and expenses, and claimed, among other things, that CPC reimbursed their "volunteers" for planting materials.

MAILINGS

41. On or about the following dates, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
LORRAINE DISPALDO,

for the purpose of executing the scheme described above, and attempting to do so, and aiding and abetting its execution, knowingly caused to be delivered by the United States mail and by commercial interstate carrier, according to directions thereon, the items listed below, each use of the United States mail and commercial interstate carrier being a separate count:

Count	Approx. Date	Description
1	9/01/07	A letter dated September 1, 2007, from defendant ROBERT MULGREW to Gayle Elder containing IRS Forms 1099 showing Friends of Dickinson Square as the payer and concerning expenditures on contract C00005399, DCED Reference No. 23-321-0534, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
2	10/28/08	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 10/28/08 to D.R. titled Second Notice of Project Notification Requirements concerning Friends of Dickinson Square contract C000018365, DCED Reference No., 25-826-0242, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
3	2/23/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 2/23/09 to D.R. concerning Friends of Dickinson Square's contract C000018365, DCED Reference No. 25826-0242 and FDS's non-compliance and ineligibility for funding, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.

4	4/24/09	A Grant Closeout Report and an affidavit, both dated April 24, 2009, and signed by defendant ROBERT MULGREW, and a grant disbursement summary concerning contract C000018365, DCED Reference, No. 25-826-0242, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
5	5/27/09	A Grant Closeout Report dated May 27, 2009, and signed by defendant ROBERT MULGREW, and an affidavit dated April 24, 2009, concerning contract C000018365, DCED Reference Number 25-826-0242, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
6	10/28/08	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 10/28/08 to D.R. titled Second Notice of Project Notification Requirements concerning Friends of Dickinson Square contract C000018366, DCED Reference No. 25-826-0243, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
7	2/23/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 2/23/09 to D.R. concerning Friends of Dickinson Square contract C000018366, DCED Reference No. 25-826-0243 and FDS's non-compliance and ineligibility for funding, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
8	4/22/09	A Grant Closeout Report dated April 22, 2009, and signed by defendant ROBERT MULGREW, and a grant disbursement summary concerning contract C000018366, DCED Reference Number 25-826-0243, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
9	4/30/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 4/30/09 to D.R. concerning Friends of Dickinson Square contract C000018366, DCED Reference NO. 25-826-0243 discussing allowable expenditures and DCED grant requirements, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.

10	5/27/09	A Grant Closeout Report dated May 27, 2009, and signed by defendant ROBERT MULGREW, and an affidavit dated April 21, 2009, concerning contract C000018366, DCED Reference Number 25-826-0243, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
11	12/10/07	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development Contract No. C000029684, DCED Reference No. 26-826-0670, signed on 12/10/07 by defendant ROBERT MULGREW, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
12	1/23/08	A Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 1/23/08 to D.R. containing an executed copy of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development Contract No. C000029684, DCED reference No. 26-826-0670, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
13	2/28/08	A Commonwealth of Pennsylvania check dated 2/28/08 in the amount of $50,000 and payable to Friends of Dickinson Square, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
14	5/1/09	A Grant Closeout Report dated 5/1/2009, and signed by defendant ROBERT MULGREW, concerning contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
15	5/27/09	A Grant Closeout Report, an affidavit, and a grant disbursement summary, all dated 5/27/2009, and signed by defendant ROBERT MULGREW, concerning contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, and a copy of MULGREW'S traffic court business card, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
16	7/23/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 7/23/09 to D.R. titled Notice of Rejected Closeout Report concerning a shortage of submitted invoices for contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.

17	8/31/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 8/31/09 to D.R. titled Notice of Non-Compliance concerning contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, and discussing ineligibility for additional financial assistance, sent by from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
18	10/23/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 10/23/09 to D.R. concerning contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, and discussing continued ineligibility for additional financial assistance, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
19	12/9/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 12/9/09 to D.R. concerning contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, and discussing continued ineligibility for additional financial assistance, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
20	4/26/10	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 4/26/10 to D.R. concerning contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, and discussing continued ineligibility for additional financial assistance, sent by United States mail or commercial interstate carrier from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
21	7/1/10	A package containing a number of insurance receipts, FDS check copies, storage facility fees, and other documents concerning contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, sent by defendant ROBERT MULGREW from Philadelphia, PA., to the Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development in Harrisburg, PA.
22	7/28/10	A Governor's Office of General Counsel letter dated July 28, 2010 to Friends of Dickinson Square concerning contract C000029684, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0670, concerning return of a partial portion of the grant, $6,837, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
23	3/25/08	A Grant Closeout Report dated 3/25/2008 and signed by defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO, and copies of invoices, all concerning contract C000018286, DCED Reference Number 25-826-0163, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to Harrisburg, PA.
24	4/11/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic

		Development letter dated 4/11/2009 to D.V. titled Notice of Rejected Closeout Report concerning contract C000018286, DCED Reference Number 25-826-0163, discussing missing documentation and requiring an additional submission, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
25	6/4/09	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 6/4/2009 to Lorraine Dispaldo, Secretary /Treasurer, titled Notice of Rejected Closeout Report concerning contract C000023638, DCED Reference Number 26-826-0132, discussing variances from the contract and requiring a re-submission of documents, sent from Harrisburg, PA., to Philadelphia, PA.
26	9/29/08	A Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated September 29, 2008 to D.V. containing an executed copy of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development Contract No. C000034547, sent from Harrisburg, Pa., to Philadelphia, PA.
27	4/22/10	A Grant Closeout Report dated 4/22/10 and signed by defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO, and copies of invoices, all concerning contract C000034547, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to DCED in Harrisburg, PA.
28	7/21/10	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 7/21/2010 to D.V. titled Notice of Rejected Closeout Report concerning contract C000034547, discussing reasons for rejecting the closeout and requiring an explanation of expenses, sent from Harrisburg, Pa., to Philadelphia, PA.
29	8/26/10	A Pennsylvania Department of Community & Economic Development letter dated 8/6/2010 to D.V. titled Notice of Rejected Closeout Report concerning contract C000034547, discussing reasons for rejecting the closeout and requiring the return of funds not spent on approved expenditures and requiring payment of interest, sent from Harrisburg, Pa., to Philadelphia, PA.

30	9/7/10	A memorandum dated September 7, 2010, responding to the items raised in the DCED letter of August 6, 2010, concerning contract C000034547 and including copies of additional CPC checks and telephone service invoices, sent from Philadelphia, PA., to DCED in Harrisburg, PA.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1341, 1349, and 2.

COUNT THIRTY-ONE

WIRE FRAUD
18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1349 and 2

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

1. Paragraphs 1 through 15 of Count One through Thirty of this indictment are incorporated here.

THE SCHEME

2. From in or about 2002 through in or about August 2010, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
LORRAINE DISPALDO

devised and intended to devise a scheme to defraud the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.

MANNER AND MEANS

It was part of the scheme that:

3. Paragraphs 17 through 40 of Counts One through Thirty of this indictment are incorporated here.

4. On or about the December 19, 2008, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
LORRAINE DISPALDO,

for the purpose of executing the scheme described above, and attempting to do so, and aiding and abetting its execution, caused to be transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate

commerce the signals and sounds described here, that is, a wire transfer of $25,000 from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to Community to Police bank account number .....4946 at TD Bank.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343, 1349 and 2.

COUNT THIRTY-TWO

TAX EVASION
26 U.S.C. § 7201

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

1. Defendants ROBERT MULGREW and ELIZABETH MULGREW were married to one another and filed joint federal income tax returns during 2005 through 2010.

2. During tax year 2005 and continuing until approximately August 27, 2007, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and elsewhere, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
ELIZABETH MULGREW,

residents of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, willfully attempted to evade and defeat and aided and abetted the evasion of a large part of the income tax due and owing by them to the United States of America, by, among other things:

(a) failing to declare as income the value of monetary and non-monetary benefits received from the Friends of Dickinson Square;

(b) failing to declare additional retirement income in the amount of approximately $5,875;

(c) failing to declare thousand of dollars of additional income deposited to personal bank accounts; and

(d) falsifying their 2005 joint personal income tax return by claiming improper Schedule C business deductions which reduced their tax liability.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201 and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT THIRTY-THREE

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 15, 2007, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
ELIZABETH MULGREW

willfully made and subscribed a United States joint income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2006, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendants ROBERT MULGREW and ELIZABETH MULGREW did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $61,236, when in fact, as the defendants well knew, they had received additional taxable income of approximately $37,967 which was not reported on the return and the return contained significant false business deductions which improperly reduced their income tax liability.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT THIRTY-FOUR

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 15, 2008, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
ELIZABETH MULGREW

willfully made and subscribed a United States joint income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2007, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendants ROBERT MULGREW and ELIZABETH MULGREW did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $80,108, when in fact, as the defendants well knew, they had received additional taxable income of approximately $25,646 which was not reported on the return and the return contained false business deductions which improperly reduced their income tax liability.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT THIRTY-FIVE

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 15, 2009, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
ELIZABETH MULGREW

willfully made and subscribed a United States joint income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2008, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendants ROBERT MULGREW and ELIZABETH MULGREW did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $99,224, when in fact, as the defendants well knew, they had received additional taxable income of approximately $3,657 which was not reported on the return and the return contained false business deductions which improperly reduced their income tax liability.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT THIRTY-SIX

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 15, 2010, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
ELIZABETH MULGREW

willfully made and subscribed a United States joint income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2009, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendants ROBERT MULGREW and ELIZABETH MULGREW did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $107,513 but contained false business deductions which improperly reduced their income tax liability.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT THIRTY-SEVEN

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 15, 2011, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
ELIZABETH MULGREW

willfully made and subscribed a United States joint income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2010, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendants ROBERT MULGREW and ELIZABETH MULGREW did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $112,429, but contained false business deductions which improperly reduced their income tax liability.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

COUNT THIRTY-EIGHT

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 17, 2007 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

LORRAINE DISPALDO

willfully made and subscribed a United States income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2006, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendant DISPALDO did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $56,586, when in fact, as DISPALDO well knew, she had received additional taxable income of approximately $12,690 in funds sourced from the Committee to Elect Bill Keller and the Community to Police Communications non-profit organization.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT THIRTY-NINE

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 15, 2008 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

LORRAINE DISPALDO

willfully made and subscribed a United States income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2007, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendant DISPALDO did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $60,013, when in fact, as DISPALDO well knew, she had received additional taxable income of approximately $13,932 in funds sourced from the Committee to Elect Bill Keller and the Community to Police Communications non-profit organization.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT FORTY

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 15, 2009, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

LORRAINE DISPALDO

willfully made and subscribed a United States income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2008, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendant DISPALDO did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $57,831, when in fact, as DISPALDO well knew, she had received additional taxable income of approximately $25,317 in funds sourced from the Committee to Elect Bill Keller and the Community to Police Communications non-profit organization.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT FORTY-ONE

FILING A FALSE INCOME TAX RETURN
26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

On or about April 15, 2010, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

LORRAINE DISPALDO

willfully made and subscribed a United States income tax return, Form 1040, for the calendar year 2009, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which defendant DISPALDO did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income of $57,828, when in fact, as DISPALDO well knew, she had received additional taxable income of approximately $3,577 in funds sourced from the Committee to Elect Bill Keller and the Community to Police Communications non-profit organization.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT FORTY-TWO

BANKRUPTCY FRAUD
18 U.S.C. § 152

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

1. On or about January18, 2010, defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO filed or caused to be filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania a bankruptcy petition entitled In re Lorraine Dispaldo, Case Number 10-10339.

2. On or about April 5, 2010, defendant LORRAINE DISPALDO filed or caused to be filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and amended statement of financial affairs various schedules as part of her bankruptcy case number 10-10339, which included her income figures for 2008 and 2009.

3. On or about April 5, 2010, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

LORRAINE DISPALDO,

in connection with her bankruptcy case number 10-10339, a case under Title 11 of the United States Code, knowingly and fraudulently concealed and caused to be concealed from creditors and the United States Trustee in excess of $27,000 of income she received during 2008 and 2009, and made a false oath concerning the same.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 152(1),(2) and 2.

COUNT FORTY-THREE

CORRUPTLY OBSTRUCTING, IMPEDING AND IMPAIRING THE DUE ADMINISTRATION OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE LAWS
26 U.S.C. § 7212

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

From in or about 2005 through in or about April 2011, defendants

ROBERT MULGREW and
ELIZABETH MULGREW

corruptly obstructed and impeded and endeavored to obstruct and impede the due administration of the internal revenue laws of the United States concerning the ascertainment, computation, assessment and collection of their own federal income taxes, by filing false federal income tax returns for tax years 2005 through 2010 in which they committed, among others, the following acts:

(a) failing to declare as income the value of monetary and non-monetary benefits received by defendant ROBERT MULGREW from the Friends of Dickinson Square;

(b) failing to declare thousand of dollars of additional cash income deposited to personal bank accounts; and

(c) claiming improper Schedule C business deductions which worked to substantially reduce their joint income tax liability.

All in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7212, and Title 18 United States Code, Section 2.

A TRUE BILL:

_____
FOREPERSON

Peter F. Schenck for
ZANE DAVID MEMEGER
United States Attorney

Stats

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

INDICTMENT 12-462

DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106-4476

Post Office: SEE ATTACHMENT "A" - Philadelphia County: Philadelphia

City and State of Defendant: Philadelphia, PA

County: Philadelphia Register number:

Place of accident, incident, or transaction: Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Post Office: Philadelphia County: Philadelphia

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Criminal cases are deemed related when the answer to the following question is "yes".

Does this case involve a defendant or defendants alleged to have participated in the same action or transaction, or in the same series of acts or transactions, constituting an offense or offenses?

YES/NO: No

Case Number: Judge:

CRIMINAL: (Criminal Category - FOR USE BY U.S. ATTORNEY ONLY)

1. ( ) Antitrust
2. (X) Income Tax and other Tax Prosecutions
3. ( ) Commercial Mail Fraud
4. ( ) Controlled Substances
5. ( ) Violations of 18 U.S.C. Chapters 95 and 96 (Sections 1951-55 and 1961-68) and Mail Fraud other than commercial
6. ( ) General Criminal

(U.S. ATTORNEY WILL PLEASE DESIGNATE PARTICULAR CRIME AND STATUTE CHARGED TO BE VIOLATED AND STATE ANY PREVIOUS CRIMINAL NUMBER FOR SPEEDY TRIAL ACT TRACKING PURPOSES)

18 U.S.C. § 1341 (mail fraud - 30 counts); 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (wire fraud - 1 count); 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) (filing false federal income tax returns - 9 counts); 26 U.S.C. § 7201 (tax evasion - 1 count); 18 U.S.C. § 152 (bankruptcy fraud - 1 count); 26 U.S.C. § 7212 (obstructing the administration of the internal revenue laws - 1 count); 18 U.S.C. § 2 (aiding and abetting)

DATE: August 28, 2012

Paul L. Gray
Assistant United States Attorney

File No. 2010R00027
U.S. v. Robert Mulgrew, Lorraine Dispaldo and Elizabeth Mulgrew

ATTACHMENT "A"

ROBERT MULGREW
City and State: Philadelphia, PA
Post Office: Philadelphia
County: Philadelphia

LORRAINE DISPALDO
City and State: Philadelphia, PA
Post Office: Philadelphia
County: Philadelphia

ELIZABETH MULGREW
City and State: Philadelphia, PA
Post Office: Philadelphia
County: Philadelphia

EXHIBIT "B"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	
v.	:	**CRIMINAL NO. 12-462**
ROBERT MULGREW **ELIZABETH MULGREW**	:	

GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

Under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the government, the defendant, and the defendant's counsel enter into the following guilty plea agreement. Any reference to the United States or the government in this agreement shall mean the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

1. The defendant agrees to plead guilty to Count One, charging mail fraud and conspiracy to commit mail fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1349, and Count Thirty-Three, charging the filing of a false personal income tax return concerning tax year 2006. The defendant further acknowledges his waiver of rights, as set forth in the attachment to this agreement.

2. At the time of sentencing, the government will:

a. Make whatever sentencing recommendation as to imprisonment, fines, restitution, and other matters which the government deems appropriate.

b. Comment on the evidence and circumstances of the case; bring to the Court's attention all facts relevant to sentencing including evidence relating to dismissed counts, if any, and to the character and any criminal conduct of the defendant; address the Court regarding the nature and seriousness of the offense; respond factually to questions raised by the

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED FROM THE RECORD
DATED: 08/11/14
ATTEST: Margaret R. Stix
DEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Court; correct factual inaccuracies in the presentence report or sentencing record; and rebut any statement of facts made by or on behalf of the defendant at sentencing.

c. Nothing in this agreement shall limit the government in its comments in, and responses to, any post-sentencing matters.

d. Move to dismiss the remaining counts against Robert Mulgrew and all counts concerning Elizabeth Mulgrew.

3. The defendant understands, agrees, and has had explained to him by counsel that the Court may impose the following statutory maximum sentence: on mail fraud and conspiracy to commit mail fraud, 20 years imprisonment, five years of supervised release, a $250,000 fine, and a $100 assessment; on filing a false income tax return, three years imprisonment, one year supervised release, a $250,000 fine, and a $100 special assessment. The total maximum sentence is: 23 years imprisonment, five years supervised release, a $500,000 fine, a $200 special assessment, and restitution to the Internal Revenue service of all taxes due, penalties, and interest.

The defendant further understands that supervised release may be revoked if its terms and conditions are violated. When supervised release is revoked, the original term of imprisonment may be increased by up to one year per count of conviction. Thus, a violation of supervised release increases the possible period of incarceration and makes it possible that the defendant will have to serve the original sentence, plus a substantial additional period, without credit for time already spent on supervised release.

4. The defendant agrees to pay a fine and to make restitution as directed by the Court. The defendant further agrees that restitution, fine, assessment, tax, interest, or other

payments in this case do not constitute extraordinary acceptance of responsibility or provide any basis to seek a downward departure or variance from the applicable Sentencing Guideline range.

5. In order to facilitate the collection of financial obligations to be imposed in connection with this prosecution, the defendant agrees fully to disclose all assets in which he has any interest or over which the defendant exercises control, directly or indirectly, including those held by a spouse, nominee, or other third party. Accordingly:

a. The defendant will promptly submit a completed financial statement to the U.S. Attorney's Office, in a form it provides and as it directs. The defendant promises that her financial statement and disclosures will be complete, accurate, and truthful.

b. The defendant expressly authorizes the U.S. Attorney's Office to obtain a credit report on him in order to evaluate the defendant's ability to satisfy any financial obligation imposed by the Court.

6. The defendant agrees to pay the special victims/witness assessment in the amount of $200 before the time of sentencing and shall provide a receipt from the Clerk to the government before sentencing as proof of this payment.

7. The defendant may not withdraw his plea because the Court declines to follow any recommendation, motion, or stipulation by the parties to this agreement. No one has promised or guaranteed to the defendant what sentence the Court will impose.

8. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6B1.4, the parties enter into the following stipulations under the Sentencing Guidelines Manual. It is understood and agreed that: (1) the parties are free to argue the applicability of any other provision of the Sentencing Guidelines, including offense conduct, offense characteristics, criminal history, adjustments, and departures;

(2) these stipulations are not binding upon either the Probation Office or the Court; and (3) the Court may make factual and legal determinations that differ from these stipulations and that may result in an increase or decrease in the Sentencing Guidelines range and the sentence that may be imposed:

(a) The parties agree and stipulate that: the fraud loss caused in furtherance of the criminal activity ~~jointly~~ PC undertaken by the defendant ~~and co-conspirator~~ PG will be determined based on all the facts set forth in the indictment. The defendant's guideline range should be calculated based upon this amount pursuant to USSG §1B1.3. The parties will endeavor to reach an agreement on the fraud and tax loss and restitution figures, and if the parties are unable to reach agreement, the matters will be submitted for resolution to the Court.

(b) The parties agree and stipulate that, as of the date of this agreement, the defendant has demonstrated acceptance of responsibility for his offenses, making the defendant eligible for a 2-level downward adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a).

9. The defendant agrees to cooperate fully with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") as follows:

a. The defendant agrees to pay restitution as directed by the Court to the IRS in the total amount of interest and tax, penalty, and interest due as of sentencing date concerning tax years 2005 through 2010. Unless directed otherwise, restitution payments will be sent to the IRS- RACS, Attn: Mail Stop 6261, Restitution, 333 W. Pershing Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64108.

b. The defendant agrees to pay all remaining taxes, interest, and penalties, as determined by the Court to be due and owing, on a payment schedule to be set by

the IRS. The defendant further agrees to pay all state and local taxes due and owing for these years, on the same payment schedule set by the IRS.

c. Prior to sentencing, the defendant will properly execute and deliver to the IRS Examination Division IRS Form 4549 or IRS Form 870 and/or file amended personal tax returns for tax years 2005 through 2010, and the government will give the defendant access to the IRS records necessary to do so.

d. Prior to sentencing, the defendant will make a full financial disclosure to the IRS.

e. Prior to sentencing, the defendant agrees to provide the IRS with all requested documents and information for the purpose of a civil audit.

f. The defendant agrees that she will sign any IRS forms deemed necessary by the IRS to enable the IRS to make an immediate assessment of the taxes and interest that he agrees to pay as restitution in subparagraph a.

g. The defendant agrees to sign IRS Form 8821, Tax Information Authorization, at any time that it is requested until the termination of her probation/supervised release.

h. The defendant further agrees not to file any claims for refund of taxes, penalties, and interest for the years 2005 through 2010 or for any other amounts paid pursuant to this agreement.

i. The defendant agrees that subparagraphs a through k of this paragraph are appropriate conditions of probation/supervised release.

j. The defendant agrees that she will make no objection to the entry

of an order under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 6(e) permitting the IRS Criminal Investigation Division to disclose to the IRS Examination and Collection Divisions (for purposes of a civil audit) all of the documents obtained, and the IRS reports produced, during the criminal investigation, whether or not such documents or reports are considered to be grand jury material within the meaning of Rule 6(e).

k. Nothing in this agreement shall limit the IRS in its collection of any taxes, penalties, or interest due from the defendant. The defendant agrees that this agreement, or any judgment, order, release, or satisfaction issued in connection with this agreement, will not satisfy, settle, or compromise the defendant's obligation to pay the balance of any remaining civil tax liabilities, including tax, interest, and penalties.

10. In exchange for the promises made by the government in entering this plea agreement, the defendant voluntarily and expressly waives all rights to appeal or collaterally attack the defendant's conviction, sentence, or any other matter relating to this prosecution, whether such a right to appeal or collateral attack arises under 18 U.S.C. § 3742, 28 U.S.C. § 1291, 28 U.S.C. § 2255, or any other provision of law.

a. Notwithstanding the waiver provision above, if the government appeals from the sentence, then the defendant may file a direct appeal of her sentence.

b. If the government does not appeal, then notwithstanding the waiver provision set forth in this paragraph, the defendant may file a direct appeal but may raise only a claim:

(1) that the defendant's sentence on any count of conviction exceeds the statutory maximum for that count as set forth in paragraph 3 above;

(2) challenging a decision by the sentencing judge to impose an "upward departure" pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines;

(3) challenging a decision by the sentencing judge to impose an "upward variance" above the final Sentencing Guideline range determined by the Court;

If the defendant does appeal pursuant to this subparagraph, no issue may be presented by the defendant on direct appeal other than those described in this subparagraph.

c. Notwithstanding the waiver provision set forth in this paragraph, the defendant may file a petition for collateral relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, but may only raise a claim that the attorney who represented the defendant at the time of the execution of this agreement and the entry of the defendant's guilty plea provided constitutionally ineffective assistance during any part of the representation.

11. The defendant waives any claim under the Hyde Amendment, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A (Statutory Note), for attorney's fees and other litigation expenses arising out of the investigation or prosecution of this matter.

12. The defendant waives all rights, whether asserted directly or by a representative, to request or receive from any department or agency of the United States any records pertaining to the investigation or prosecution of this case, including without limitation any records that may be sought under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, or the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a.

13. The defendant is satisfied with the legal representation provided by the defendant's lawyer; the defendant and this lawyer have fully discussed this plea agreement; and the defendant is agreeing to plead guilty because the defendant admits that he is guilty.

14. It is agreed that the parties' guilty plea agreement contains no additional promises, agreements, or understandings other than those set forth in this written guilty plea agreement, and that no additional promises, agreements, or understandings will be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

ZANE DAVID MEMEGER
United States Attorney

ROBERT MULGREW
Defendant

PETER F. SCHENCK
Chief, Criminal Division
Assistant United States Attorney

ANGIE HALIM
Counsel for Defendant

PAUL L. GRAY
Assistant United States Attorney

Date: September 18, 2013

Attachment

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	
v.	:	**CRIMINAL NO. 12-462**
ROBERT MULGREW **ELIZABETH MULGREW**	:	

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RIGHTS

I hereby acknowledge that I have certain rights that I will be giving up by pleading guilty.

1. I understand that I do not have to plead guilty.

2. I may plead not guilty and insist upon a trial.

3. At that trial, I understand

a. that I would have the right to be tried by a jury that would be selected from the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and that along with my attorney, I would have the right to participate in the selection of that jury;

b. that the jury could only convict me if all 12 jurors agreed that they were convinced of my guilt beyond a reasonable doubt;

c. that the government would have the burden of proving my guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and that I would not have to prove anything;

d. that I would be presumed innocent unless and until such time as the jury was convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the government had proven that I was guilty;

e. that I would have the right to be represented by a lawyer at this trial and at any appeal following the trial, and that if I could not afford to hire a lawyer, the court would appoint one for me free of charge;

f. that through my lawyer I would have the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against me;

g. that I could testify in my own defense if I wanted to and I could subpoena witnesses to testify in my defense if I wanted to; and

h. that I would not have to testify or otherwise present any defense if I did not want to and that if I did not present any evidence, the jury could not hold that against me.

4. I understand that if I plead guilty, there will be no trial and I would be giving up all of the rights listed above.

5. I understand that if I decide to enter a plea of guilty, the judge will ask me questions under oath and that if I lie in answering those questions, I could be prosecuted for the crime of perjury, that is, for lying under oath.

6. I understand that if I plead guilty, I have given up my right to appeal, except as set forth in the appellate waiver provisions of my plea agreement.

7. Understanding that I have all these rights and that by pleading guilty I am giving them up, I still wish to plead guilty.

8. I acknowledge that no one has promised me what sentence the Court will impose. I am aware and have discussed with my attorney that, at sentencing, the Court will calculate the Sentencing Guidelines range (including whether any departures apply), and then, in determining my sentence, will consider the Guideline range and all relevant policy statements in the Sentencing Guidelines, along with other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), including

(1) the nature and circumstances of the offense and my personal history and characteristics;

(2) the need for the sentence imposed-- (A) to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense; (B) to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; (C) to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; and (D) to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner;

(3) the kinds of sentences available;

(4) the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct; and

(5) the need to provide restitution to any victims of the offense.

ROBERT MULGREW
Defendant

ANGIE HALIM
Counsel for the Defendant

Dated: September 18, 2013

EXHIBIT "C"

AO 245B (Rev. 09/11) Judgment in a Criminal Case
Sheet 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
v.
ROBERT MULGREW

JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE

Case Number: DPAE212CR000462-001

USM Number: 68619-066

Angela Halim, Esq.
Defendant's Attorney

THE DEFENDANT:

☒ pleaded guilty to count(s) 1 & 33

☐ pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by the court.

☐ was found guilty on count(s) after a plea of not guilty.

The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:

Title & Section	Nature of Offense	Offense Ended	Count
18:1341, 1349 and 2	Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, Aiding and Abetting	8/1/2010	1
26:7206(1) & 18:2	Filing a False Tax Return	8/1/2010	33

The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 6 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.

☐ The defendant has been found not guilty on count(s)

☒ Count(s) 2-32, 34-37 and 43 ☐ is ☒ are dismissed on the motion of the United States.

It is ordered that the defendant must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the court and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances.

8/6/2014
Date of Imposition of Judgment

Signature of Judge

C. Darnell Jones II, Judge USDC EDPA
Name and Title of Judge

Aug. 8, 2014
Date

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED FROM THE RECORD
DATED: 08/11/14
ATTEST: Margaret R. Stu
DEPUTY CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AO 245B (Rev. 09/11) Judgment in Criminal Case
Sheet 2 — Imprisonment

DEFENDANT: MULGREW, ROBERT
CASE NUMBER: 12.CR.462.01

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of:

30 MONTHS (on counts 1 & 33 to run concurrently)

☐ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:

☐ The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.

☒ The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:

☒ at 09:30 ☒ a.m. ☐ p.m. on 9/2/2014 .

☐ as notified by the United States Marshal.

☐ The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:

☐ before 2 p.m. on _____ .

☐ as notified by the United States Marshal.

☐ as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.

RETURN

I have executed this judgment as follows:

Defendant delivered on _____ to _____

at _____ , with a certified copy of this judgment.

_____
UNITED STATES MARSHAL

By _____
DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

DEFENDANT: MULGREW, ROBERT
CASE NUMBER: 12.CR.462.01

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of :

3 YEARS (on ct 1) 1 YEAR (on ct 33) - to run concurrently

The defendant must report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime.

The defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as determined by the court.

☐ The above drug testing condition is suspended, based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse. *(Check, if applicable.)*

☒ The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon. *(Check, if applicable.)*

☒ The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. *(Check, if applicable.)*

☐ The defendant shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (42 U.S.C. § 16901, *et seq.*) as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in which he or she resides, works, is a student, or was convicted of a qualifying offense. *(Check, if applicable.)*

☐ The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence. *(Check, if applicable.)*

If this judgment imposes a fine or restitution, it is a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay in accordance with the Schedule of Payments sheet of this judgment.

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any additional conditions on the attached page.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

1) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
2) the defendant shall report to the probation officer in a manner and frequency directed by the court or probation officer;
3) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
4) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
5) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation, unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
6) the defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment;
7) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
8) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
9) the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony, unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
10) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer;
11) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
12) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court; and
13) as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

DEFENDANT: MULGREW, ROBERT
CASE NUMBER: 12.CR.462.01

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

The defendant shall provide the U.S. Probation Office with full disclosure of his financial records to include yearly income tax returns upon the request of the U.S. Probation Office. The defendant shall cooperate with the probation officer in the investigation of his financial dealings and shall provide truthful monthly statements of his income.

The defendant is prohibited from incurring any new credit charges or opening additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation officer, unless the defendant is in compliance with a payment schedule for any restitution obligation. The defendant shall not encumber or liquidate interest in any assets unless it is in direct service of the restitution obligation or otherwise has the express approval of the Court.

The defendant is to fully cooperate with the Internal Revenue Service by filing all delinquent or amended returns and by timely filing all future returns that come due during the period of supervised release. The defendant is to properly report all correct taxable income and claim only allowable expenses on those returns. Upon request, the defedant is to furnish the Internal Revenue Serive with information pertaining to all assets and liabilities, and the defendant is to fully cooperate by paying all taxes, interest and penalties due, and otherwise comply with the tax laws of the United States.

It is further ordered that the defendant shall make restitution in the amount of $199,274.00. The Court will waive the interest requirement in this case. Payments should be made payable to Clerk, U.S. District Court for distribution to the victim in this case:

PA Department Community and Economic Development
Office of Chief Counsel
Comomnwealth Keystone Building, Plaza Level
Attn: Josh Vecchio, Esq.
40 North Street
Harrisburgh, PA 17120

Referencing: Grant Contract Numbers:
#C000005295, #C000018285, #C000018286, #C000023638 and #C000034547

The restitution is due immediately. It is recommended that the defendant participate in the Bureau of Prisons Inmate Financial Responsibility Program and provide a minimum of $25 per quarter towards the restitution. In the event the restitution is not paid prior to the commencement of supervision, the defendant shall satisfy the amount due in monthly installments of not less than $250, to commence 30 days after release from confinement.

The Court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay a fine. The Court will waive the fine in this case.

The defendant shall notify the United States Attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of mailing address or residence that occurs while any portion of the fine remains unpaid.

It is further ordered that the defendant shall pay to the United States a total special assessment of $200, which shall be due immediately.

AO 245B (Rev. 09/11) Judgment in a Criminal Case
Sheet 5 — Criminal Monetary Penalties

DEFENDANT: MULGREW, ROBERT
CASE NUMBER: 12.CR.462.01

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defendant must pay the total criminal monetary penalties under the schedule of payments on Sheet 6.

	Assessment	Fine	Restitution
TOTALS	$ 200.00	$ 0.00	$ 199,274.00

☐ The determination of restitution is deferred until _____. An *Amended Judgment in a Criminal Case (AO 245C)* will be entered after such determination.

☐ The defendant must make restitution (including community restitution) to the following payees in the amount listed below.

If the defendant makes a partial payment, each payee shall receive an approximately proportioned payment, unless specified otherwise in the priority order or percentage payment column below. However, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i), all nonfederal victims must be paid before the United States is paid.

Name of Payee	Total Loss*	Restitution Ordered	Priority or Percentage
Pa Dept Community & Economic Development	$199,274.00		

TOTALS	$ 199,274	$ _____

☐ Restitution amount ordered pursuant to plea agreement $ _____

☐ The defendant must pay interest on restitution and a fine of more than $2,500, unless the restitution or fine is paid in full before the fifteenth day after the date of the judgment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f). All of the payment options on Sheet 6 may be subject to penalties for delinquency and default, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(g).

☒ The court determined that the defendant does not have the ability to pay interest and it is ordered that:

☒ the interest requirement is waived for the ☐ fine ☒ restitution.

☐ the interest requirement for the ☐ fine ☐ restitution is modified as follows:

* Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996.

AO 245B (Rev. 09/11) Judgment in a Criminal Case
Sheet 6 — Schedule of Payments

DEFENDANT: MULGREW, ROBERT
CASE NUMBER: 12.CR.462.01

SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS

Having assessed the defendant's ability to pay, payment of the total criminal monetary penalties is due as follows:

A ☐ Lump sum payment of $ _____ due immediately, balance due

☐ not later than _____, or
☐ in accordance ☐ C, ☐ D, ☐ E, or ☐ F below; or

B ☒ Payment to begin immediately (may be combined with ☐ C, ☒ D, or ☐ F below); or

C ☐ Payment in equal _____ *(e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly)* installments of $ _____ over a period of _____ *(e.g., months or years)*, to commence _____ *(e.g., 30 or 60 days)* after the date of this judgment; or

D ☒ Payment in equal monthly *(e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly)* installments of $ 250.00 over a period of _____ *(e.g., months or years)*, to commence 30 *(e.g., 30 or 60 days)* after release from imprisonment to a term of supervision; or

E ☐ Payment during the term of supervised release will commence within _____ *(e.g., 30 or 60 days)* after release from imprisonment. The court will set the payment plan based on an assessment of the defendant's ability to pay at that time; or

F ☐ Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties:

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise, if this judgment imposes imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties is due during imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalties, except those payments made through the Federal Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are made to the clerk of the court.

The defendant shall receive credit for all payments previously made toward any criminal monetary penalties imposed.

☐ Joint and Several

Defendant and Co-Defendant Names and Case Numbers *(including defendant number)*, Total Amount, Joint and Several Amount, and corresponding payee, if appropriate.

☐ The defendant shall pay the cost of prosecution.

☐ The defendant shall pay the following court cost(s):

☐ The defendant shall forfeit the defendant's interest in the following property to the United States:

Payments shall be applied in the following order: (1) assessment, (2) restitution principal, (3) restitution interest, (4) fine principal, (5) fine interest, (6) community restitution, (7) penalties, and (8) costs, including cost of prosecution and court costs.

EXHIBIT "D"

United States District Court
Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)
CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:12-cr-00462-CDJ All Defendants
Internal Use Only

Case title: USA v. MULGREW et al

Date Filed: 08/28/2012

Assigned to: HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II

Defendant (1)

ROBERT MULGREW
TERMINATED: 08/08/2014

represented by **ANGELA HALIM**
LAW OFFICES OF ANGIE HALIM LLC
1500 WALNUT ST 21ST FL
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102
215-300-3229
Email: angie@halimlawfirm.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

Pending Counts	Disposition
18:1341, 1349 MAIL FRAUD; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (1)	IMPRISONMENT 30 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE 3 YEARS, SPECIAL ASSESSMENT $200.00, RESTITUTION $199,274.00
26:7206(1) FILING FALSE FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURNS; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (33)	IMPRISONMENT 30 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE 1 YEAR, SPECIAL ASSESSMENT $200.00, RESTITUTION $199,274.00

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED FROM THE RECORD
DATED: 08/11/14
ATTEST: Margaret K Stepa
DEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Highest Offense Level (Opening)

Felony

Terminated Counts	Disposition
18:1341, 1349 MAIL FRAUD; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (2-30)	DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S MOTION
18:1343, 1349 WIRE FRAUD; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (31)	DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S MOTION
26:7201 TAX EVASION; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING	DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S

(32)	MOTION
26:7206(1) FILING FALSE FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURNS; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (34-37)	DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S MOTION
26:7212 OBSTRUCTING THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE LAWS; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (43)	DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S MOTION

Highest Offense Level (Terminated)

Felony

Complaints	**Disposition**
None	

Assigned to: HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II

Appeals court case number: 13-4699 USCA FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

Defendant (2)

LORRAINE DISPALDO
TERMINATED: 12/02/2013

represented by **LORRAINE DISPALDO**
2527 SOUTH 13TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19148
PRO SE

CATHERINE M. RECKER
WELSH & RECKER, P.C.
2000 MARKET STREET
SUITE 2903
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103
215-972-6430
Email: cmrecker@welshrecker.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

LINDSAY S. KIM CHUNG
WELSH & RECKER
2000 MARKET STREET
SUITE 2903
PHILA, PA 19103
215-972-6430
Email: lkimchung@welshrecker.com

LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

Pending Counts	**Disposition**
18:1341, 1349 MAIL FRAUD; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (1-30)	AMENDED JUDGMENT: IMPRISONMENT: 18 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE: 3 YEARS, SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: $3,600.00, RESTITUTION: $120,865.00
18:1343, 1349 WIRE FRAUD; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (31)	AMENDED JUDGMENT: IMPRISONMENT: 18 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE: 3 YEARS, SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: $3,600.00, RESTITUTION: $120,865.00
26:7206(1) FILING FALSE FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURNS (38-41)	AMENDED JUDGMENT: IMPRISONMENT: 18 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE: 3 YEARS, SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: $3,600.00, RESTITUTION: $120,865.00
18:152(1)(2) BANKRUPTCY FRAUD; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING (42)	AMENDED JUDGMENT: IMPRISONMENT: 18 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE: 3 YEARS, SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: $3,600.00, RESTITUTION: $120,865.00

Highest Offense Level (Opening)

Felony

Terminated Counts	**Disposition**
None	

Highest Offense Level (Terminated)

None

Complaints	**Disposition**
None	

Assigned to: HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II

Defendant (3)

ELIZABETH MULGREW represented by **BRIAN J. MCMONAGLE**
MCMONAGLE, PERRI & MCHUGH

30 SOUTH 15TH STREET
ONE PENN SQUARE WEST
SUITE 701
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102-4807
215-981-0999
Fax: 215-981-0977
Email: bmcmonagle@mpmpc.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

ROBERT T. LYNCH
HOFFMAN MICHELS & STERNBERG
737 SECOND STREET PIKE
SOUTHAMPTON, PA 18966
215-953-8955
Fax: 215-953-9323
Email: rlynch@hmslaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

Pending Counts **Disposition**

None

Highest Offense Level (Opening)

None

Terminated Counts **Disposition**

26:7201 TAX EVASION; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING
(32)

26:7206(1) FILING FALSE FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURNS; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING
(33-37)

26:7212 OBSTRUCTING THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE LAWS; 18:2 AIDING AND ABETTING
(43)

Highest Offense Level (Terminated)

Felony

Complaints **Disposition**

None

Plaintiff

USA

represented by **PAUL L. GRAY**
U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
615 CHESTNUT ST.
SUITE 1250
PHILA, PA 19106
TEL 215-861-8257
Fax: FAX 215-861-8618
Email: paul.gray@usdoj.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
08/28/2012	1	SEALED INDICTMENT as to ROBERT MULGREW (1) count(s) 1-30, 31, 32, 33-37, 43, LORRAINE DISPALDO (2) count(s) 1-30, 31, 38-41, 42, ELIZABETH MULGREW (3) count(s) 32, 33-37, 43. (tjsl,) (mac,). (Additional attachment(s) added on 9/21/2012: # 1 Designation Form) (jef,). (Entered: 08/29/2012)
08/28/2012	2	MOTION AND ORDER TO SEAL INDICTMENT AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW.. Signed by MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY on 8/28/12.8/29/12 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (tjsl,) (mac,). (Entered: 08/29/2012)
08/28/2012	3	MOTION AND ORDER FOR ISSUANCE OF BENCH WARRANT AS TO ROBERT MULGREW. Signed by MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY on 8/28/12.8/29/12 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (tjsl,) (mac,). (Entered: 08/29/2012)
08/28/2012	4	MOTION AND ORDER FOR ISSUANCE OF BENCH WARRANT AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO. Signed by MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY on 8/28/12.8/29/12 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (tjsl,) (mac,). (Entered: 08/29/2012)
08/28/2012	5	MOTION AND ORDER FOR ISSUANCE OF BENCH WARRANT AS TO ELIZABETH MULGREW. Signed by MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY on 8/28/12.8/29/12 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (tjsl,) (mac,). (Entered: 08/29/2012)
08/28/2012		BENCH Warrant Issued by MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY in case as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW. (tjsl,) (Entered: 08/29/2012)
09/13/2012		***INDICTMENT UNSEALED as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW (macsl,) (Entered: 09/13/2012)

09/13/2012	6	Letter from AUSA Unsealing Indictment as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW (mac,) (Entered: 09/13/2012)
09/13/2012		(Court only) ***Procedural Interval start P2 as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW (cmc,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	7	Minute Entry for proceedings held before MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY: Initial Appearance/Bail/Arraignment as to ROBERT MULGREW (1) Count 1-30,31,32,33-37,43 held on 9/13/2012. The Government and defense have agreed to conditions of release. Plea entered by ROBERT MULGREW Not Guilty on all counts. Counsel have 14 days to file pretrial motions. Court Reporter ESR.(ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	8	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE ANGELA HALIM appearing for ROBERT MULGREW (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	9	ORDER SETTING CONDITIONS OF RELEASE AS TO ROBERT MULGREW (1) THAT THE DEFENDANT IS RELEASED IN THE AMOUNT OF $50,000 O/R WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AS OUTLINED HEREIN. Signed by MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY on 9/13/2012.9/14/2012 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012		O/R Bond Entered as to ROBERT MULGREW in amount of $ 50,000, (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	10	Minute Entry for proceedings held before MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY: Initial Appearance/Bail/Arraignment as to LORRAINE DISPALDO (2) Count 1-30,31,38-41,42 held on 9/13/2012. The Government and defense have agreed to conditions of release. Plea entered by LORRAINE DISPALDO Not Guilty on all counts. Counsel have 14 days to file pretrial motions. Court Reporter ESR.(ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	11	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE LINDSAY S. KIM CHUNG appearing for LORRAINE DISPALDO (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	12	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE CATHERINE M. RECKER appearing for LORRAINE DISPALDO (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	13	ORDER SETTING CONDITIONS OF RELEASE AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO (2) THAT THE DEFENDANT IS RELEASED IN THE AMOUNT OF $25,000 O/R WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AS OUTLINED HEREIN. Signed by MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY on 9/13/2012.9/14/2012 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012		O/R Bond Entered as to LORRAINE DISPALDO in amount of $

		25,000, (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	14	Minute Entry for proceedings held before MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY: Initial Appearance/Bail/Arraignment as to ELIZABETH MULGREW (3) Count 32,33-37,43 held on 9/13/2012. The Government and defense have agreed to conditions of release. Plea entered by ELIZABETH MULGREW Not Guilty on all counts. Counsel have 14 days to file pretrial motions. Court Reporter ESR. (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	15	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE ROBERT T. LYNCH appearing for ELIZABETH MULGREW (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012	16	ORDER SETTING CONDITIONS OF RELEASE AS TO ELIZABETH MULGREW (3) THAT THE DEFENDANT IS RELEASED IN THE AMOUNT OF $50,000 O/R WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AS OUTLINED HEREIN. Signed by MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH T. HEY on 9/13/2012.9/14/2012 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/14/2012		O/R Bond Entered as to ELIZABETH MULGREW in amount of $ 50,000, (ap,) (Entered: 09/14/2012)
09/17/2012	17	NOTICE Regarding United States Passport for Criminal Defendant as to ROBERT MULGREW (ap,) (Entered: 09/17/2012)
09/17/2012	18	NOTICE Regarding United States Passport for Criminal Defendant as to ELIZABETH MULGREW (ap,) (Entered: 09/17/2012)
09/17/2012	19	MOTION to Stay *Time to File Pretrial Motions* by LORRAINE DISPALDO. (RECKER, CATHERINE) (Entered: 09/17/2012)
09/21/2012	20	ORDER AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO THAT THE MOTION 19 TO STAY TIME TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS IS GRANTED, ETC.; PRETRIAL MOTIONS IN THIS MATTER SHALL BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER COUNSEL CERTIFY THAT DISCOVERY HAS BEEN COMPLETED.. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 9/21/2012.9/21/2012 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 09/21/2012)
09/21/2012	21	MOTION *TO DESIGNATE THE CASE AS COMPLEX AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT* by USA as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW. (GRAY, PAUL) (Entered: 09/21/2012)
09/26/2012	22	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE BRIAN J. MCMONAGLE appearing for ELIZABETH MULGREW (MCMONAGLE, BRIAN) (Entered: 09/26/2012)
09/27/2012	23	First MOTION for Extension of Time to File *Pretrial Motions* by ROBERT MULGREW. (HALIM, ANGELA) (Entered: 09/27/2012)
10/01/2012	24	MOTION for Extension of Time to File *PRETRIAL MOTIONS* by

		ELIZABETH MULGREW. (MCMONAGLE, BRIAN) (Entered: 10/01/2012)
10/01/2012	25	NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTION in case as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW 21 MOTION *TO DESIGNATE THE CASE AS COMPLEX AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT* : MOTION HEARING SET FOR 10/29/2012 02:45 PM BEFORE HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. (aes) (Entered: 10/01/2012)
10/04/2012	26	ORDER AS TO ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT THE 24 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS IS GRANTED, ETC.; PRETRIAL MOTIONS IN THIS MATTER SHALL BE FILED WIHTIN 30 DAYS AFTER COUNSEL CERTIFY THAT DISCOVERY HAS BEEN COMPLETED.. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 10/3/2012.10/4/2012 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 10/04/2012)
10/04/2012	27	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW THAT THE 23 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS IS GRANTED, ETC.; PRETRIAL MOTIONS IN THIS MATTER SHALL BE FILED WIHTIN 30 DAYS AFTER COUNSEL CERTIFY THAT DISCOVERY HAS BEEN COMPLETED... Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 10/3/2012.10/4/2012 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 10/04/2012)
10/05/2012	28	ARREST Warrant Returned Executed on 9/13/2012. in case as to ROBERT MULGREW. (ap,) (Entered: 10/09/2012)
10/05/2012	29	ARREST Warrant Returned Executed on 9/13/12. in case as to LORRAINE DISPALDO. (ap,) (Entered: 10/09/2012)
10/05/2012	30	ARREST Warrant Returned Executed on 9/13/2012. in case as to ELIZABETH MULGREW. (ap,) (Entered: 10/09/2012)
10/24/2012	31	NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTION in case as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW 21 MOTION *TO DESIGNATE THE CASE AS COMPLEX AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT* : MOTION HEARING SET FOR 11/19/2012 12:00 PM BEFORE HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. CONTINUED FROM 10/29/12.(aes,) (Main Document 31 replaced on 10/24/2012) (ap,). (Entered: 10/24/2012)
11/07/2012	32	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW THAT THE DEFENDANT'S UNOPPPOSED REQUEST TO MODIFY CONDITIONS OF PRETRIAL RELEASE IS GRANTED. IN ADDITION TO THE ED OF PA AND THE DISTRICT OF NJ, DEFENDANT IS ALSO PERMITTED TO TRAVEL WITHIN THE MIDDLE AND WESTERN DISTRICTS OF PA FOR EMPLOYMENT PURPOSES WITH PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FROM PRETRIAL SERVICES. ALL OTHER CONDITIONS OF PRETRIAL RELEASE SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL

		JONES, II on 11/6/2012.11/8/2012 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 11/08/2012)
11/19/2012	33	NOTICE OF HEARING as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW JURY TRIAL SET FOR 6/5/2013 09:30 AM BEFORE HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. **THIS MATTER IS SPECIALLY LISTED AND ALL TRIAL COUNSEL ARE ATTACHED.(aes,) (Entered: 11/19/2012)
11/19/2012	34	Minute Entry for proceedings held before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II: Complex Case Scheduling Hearing as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW held on 11/19/2012. Counsel present. Court opened. Introductions for the record. Trial date: 6/5/2013 at 9:30 am. Case is specially listed and all counsel are hereby attached. Additional pretrial dates to be set by order in the near future. Court Reporter ESR.(ap,) (Entered: 11/20/2012)
11/19/2012	35	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT THE 21 MOTION TO DESIGNATE THE CASE AS COMPLEX AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT IS GRANTED AS OUTLINED HEREIN; IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT THIS MATTER IS DECLARED COMPLEX AND TRIAL IN THIS MATTER IS CONTINUED PENDING FURTHER ORDER ESTABLISHING A SCHEDULE FOR PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS AND RESPONSES AND A SPECIAL LISTING FOR TRIAL. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 11/19/2012.11/20/2012 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 11/20/2012)
11/20/2012		(Court only) ***Excludable started XT as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW: (cmc,) (Entered: 11/20/2012)
12/13/2012	36	SEALED MOTION AND ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 12/13/2012.12/13/2012 Entered and Copies Mailed. (FILED UNDER SEAL) (ap,) (Entered: 12/13/2012)
02/08/2013	37	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW THAT DEFT'S REQUEST IS GRANTED. DEFT IS PERMITTED TO TRAVEL WITHIN THE STATE OF NEW YORK FOR EMPLOYMENT PURPOSES WITH AUTHORIZATION FROM PRETRIAL SERVICES. ALL OTHER CONDITIONS OF PRETRIAL RELEASE SHALL REMAIN IN EFFECT. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 2/8/2013.2/8/2013 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (tomg,) (Entered: 02/08/2013)
03/04/2013	38	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT PRETRIAL MOTIONS SHAL BE FILED ON OR BEFORE 4/1/2013, ETC.; RESPONSES TO ANY

		SUCH PRETRIAL MOTIONS SHALL BE FILED ON OR BEFORE 4/18/2013. ON OR BEFORE 5/10/2013, EACH PARTY SHALL FILE WITH THE COURT THE FOLLOWING IN PREPARATION FOR TRIAL: PRETRIAL MEMORANDUM, ETC., POINTS FOR CHARGE, ETC., JURY VOIR DIRE AND VERDICT SHEET, ETC.; COUNSEL SHALL APPEAR IN CHAMBERS ON 5/20/2013 AT 02:00 PM FOR A FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 3/1/2013.3/4/2013 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 03/04/2013)
03/06/2013	39	REPORT AND ORDER OF PRETRIAL OFFICER AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO THAT THE CONDITION TO MAINTAIN EMPLOYMENT BE REMOVED AND ALL OTHER CONDITIONS OF RELEASE REMAIN. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 2/21/13.3/6/13 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (mac,) (Entered: 03/06/2013)
04/01/2013	40	MOTION for Extension of Time to File *Pretrial Motions* by ROBERT MULGREW. (HALIM, ANGELA) (Entered: 04/01/2013)
04/01/2013	41	MOTION for Extension of Time to File *PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS* by ELIZABETH MULGREW. (MCMONAGLE, BRIAN) (Entered: 04/01/2013)
04/01/2013	42	MOTION for Extension of Time to File *Pre-Trial Motions* by LORRAINE DISPALDO. (RECKER, CATHERINE) (Entered: 04/01/2013)
04/02/2013	43	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT DEFTS' MOTIONS FOR EXTENSIONS OF TIME TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS (DOC. #40, #41, & 42) ARE GRANTED TO THE EXTENT THAT THE FILING DEADLINE FOR ALL PRETRIAL MOTIONS SHALL BE EXTENDED TO 4/8/2013 AND ALL RESPONSES THERETO SHALL BE FILED ON OR BEFORE 4/15/2013. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 4/2/2013.4/3/2013 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (tomg,) (Entered: 04/03/2013)
04/03/2013	44	MOTION FOR Severance Trial Pursuant to Rule 14 of the F.R.CR.P. by ELIZABETH MULGREW. (MCMONAGLE, BRIAN) (Entered: 04/03/2013)
04/08/2013	45	MOTION to Sever *Counts 32-37, 43* by ROBERT MULGREW , CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. (Attachments: # 1 Memorandum in Support of Pretrial Motions, # 2 Exhibit A, # 3 Exhibit B, C)(HALIM, ANGELA) Modified on 4/9/2013 (ke,). (Entered: 04/08/2013)
04/08/2013	46	MOTION to Dismiss *Count 43* by ROBERT MULGREW , CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. (HALIM, ANGELA) Modified on 4/9/2013 (ke,). (Entered: 04/08/2013)
04/08/2013	47	MOTION in Limine by ROBERT MULGREW , CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. (HALIM, ANGELA) Modified on 4/9/2013 (ke,). (Entered:

		04/08/2013)
04/10/2013	48	NOTICE OF HEARING as to ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW Motion Hearing set for 4/24/2013 11:00 AM before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. (aes,) (Entered: 04/10/2013)
04/15/2013	49	RESPONSE to Motion by USA as to ROBERT MULGREW, ELIZABETH MULGREW re 44 MOTION to Sever , 47 MOTION in Limine , 46 MOTION to Dismiss *Count 43*, 45 MOTION to Sever *Counts 32-37, 43* filed by USA (GRAY, PAUL) (Entered: 04/15/2013)
04/18/2013	50	NOTICE OF HEARING as to LORRAINE DISPALDO Hearing set for 4/24/2013 11:00 AM before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. Courtroom 13A.(aes,) (Entered: 04/18/2013)
04/23/2013	51	ORDER denying 45 MOTION TO SEVER as to ROBERT MULGREW (1); denying 46 MOTION TO DISMISS as to ROBERT MULGREW (1); denying 47 MOTION IN LIMINE as to ROBERT MULGREW (1); denying 44 MOTION TO SEVER as to ELIZABETH MULGREW (3). Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 4/23/2013.4/23/2013 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED. (tomg,) (Entered: 04/23/2013)
04/24/2013	52	Plea Document as to LORRAINE DISPALDO (GRAY, PAUL) (Entered: 04/24/2013)
04/25/2013	53	NOTICE OF HEARING as to LORRAINE DISPALDO Sentencing set for 9/16/2013 11:00 AM before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. SENTENCING MEMORANDA DUE ON OR BEFORE 8/23/13 (aes,) (Entered: 04/25/2013)
04/25/2013	54	Minute Entry for proceedings held before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II: PLEA as to LORRAINE DISPALDO held on 4/23/2013. Deft sworn. Court - plea colloquy. Deft pled to the Indictment. Plea accepted. Presentence report ordered. Sentencing memo due Friday, 8/23/2013. Sentencing set for Monday, 9/16/2013 at 11:00 a.m., Bail to remain as previously set. Court Reporter ESR. (tomg,) (Entered: 04/25/2013)
04/25/2013	55	Plea Document as to LORRAINE DISPALDO (tomg,) (Entered: 04/25/2013)
05/10/2013	56	MOTION to Continue *Trial* by ROBERT MULGREW. (HALIM, ANGELA) (Entered: 05/10/2013)
05/10/2013	57	Motion to Join in Co-Defendant's Unopposed Motion for Continuance by ELIZABETH MULGREW. (MCMONAGLE, BRIAN) Modified on 5/13/2013 (cmc,). (Entered: 05/10/2013)
06/14/2013	58	NOTICE OF HEARING as to ROBERT MULGREW, ELIZABETH MULGREW JURY SELECTION SET FOR 9/16/2013 09:30AM BEFORE HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. COUNSEL ARE ATTACHED.(aes,) (Entered: 06/14/2013)

06/21/2013	59	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT ON OR BEFORE 8/19/2013, EACH PARTY SHALL FILE WITH THIS COURT THE FOLLOWING IN PREPARATION OF TRIAL: PRETRIAL MEMORANDUM AS OUTLINED HEREIN, POINTS FOR CHARGE AS OUTLINED HEREIN, JURY VOIR DIRE AND VERDICT SHEET AS OUTLINED HEREIN; COUNSEL SHALL APPEAR IN CHAMBERS ON 9/9/2013 AT 02:00 PM FOR A FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 6/21/13.6/24/2013 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 06/24/2013)
06/25/2013	60	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT PURSUANT TO 18:3161(h)(7)(A) THE 56 , 57 MOTIONS FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL ARE GRANTED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED THAT, TRIAL IN THIS MATTER SHALL BE CONTINUED UNTIL 9/18/2013 (WITH JURY SELECTION TO COMMENCE ON 9/16/2013), ETC.. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 6/24/2013.6/25/2013 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 06/25/2013)
06/26/2013		(Court only) ***Excludable started XT as to ROBERT MULGREW, ELIZABETH MULGREW: (cmc,) (Entered: 06/26/2013)
08/19/2013	61	Proposed Jury Instructions by ELIZABETH MULGREWCertificate of Service Attached(MCMONAGLE, BRIAN) (Entered: 08/19/2013)
08/19/2013	62	Requested Voir Dire by ELIZABETH MULGREW, Certificate of Service .(MCMONAGLE, BRIAN) (Entered: 08/19/2013)
08/19/2013	63	TRIAL MEMORANDUM by ELIZABETH MULGREWCertificate of Service .(MCMONAGLE, BRIAN) . (Entered: 08/19/2013)
08/19/2013	64	Proposed Jury Instructions by ROBERT MULGREWCertificate of Service(HALIM, ANGELA) (Entered: 08/19/2013)
08/19/2013	65	Proposed Voir Dire by ROBERT MULGREWCertificate of Service (HALIM, ANGELA) (Entered: 08/19/2013)
08/19/2013	66	TRIAL MEMORANDUM by ROBERT MULGREWCertificate of Service(HALIM, ANGELA) (Entered: 08/19/2013)
09/03/2013	67	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT ON OR BEFORE 9/6/2013, THE PARTIES SHALL FILE (VIA THE COURT'S ECF SYSTEM) REVISED PRETRIAL SUBMISSIONS THAT CONFORM WITH THE MANDATES OF THIS COURT'S ORDER DATED 6/21/2013 (DOC. #59). Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 9/2/2013.9/3/2013 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (tomg,) (Entered: 09/03/2013)
09/09/2013	68	Proposed Jury Instructions by USA as to ROBERT MULGREW,

		ELIZABETH MULGREW(GRAY, PAUL) (Entered: 09/09/2013)
09/09/2013	69	Proposed Voir Dire by USA as to ROBERT MULGREW, ELIZABETH MULGREW(GRAY, PAUL) (Entered: 09/09/2013)
09/11/2013	70	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW, LORRAINE DISPALDO, ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S REQUEST TO CONTINUE SENTENCING FOR DEFENDANT LORRAINE DISPALDO IS GRANTED. SENTENCING IN SAID MATTER SHALL OCCUR ON 11/25/2013 AT 12 PM AND THE PARTIES SHALL SUBMIT THEIR SENTENCING MEMORANDUM ON OR BEFORE 10/11/2013. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 9/9/2013.9/12/2013 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 09/12/2013)
09/13/2013	71	NOTICE OF HEARING as to LORRAINE DISPALDO Sentencing set for 11/25/2013 12:00 PM before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. CONTINUED FROM 9/16/13. SENTENCING MEMORANDA DUE ON OR BEFORE 10/11/13.(aes,) (Entered: 09/13/2013)
09/17/2013	72	Minute Entry for proceedings held before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II: JURY TRIAL DAY 1 held on 9/17/2013 as to ROBERT MULGREW, ELIZABETH MULGREW. Present in courtroom: judge, deputy clerk, defendants, counsel. Defense counsel argued Motion in Limine. Voir dire. Jury selected. 12 jurors and 4 alternates selected. Jury dismissed for the day. Court Reporter ESR. (ap,) (Entered: 09/18/2013)
09/18/2013	73	Minute Entry for proceedings held before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II: Jury Trial Day 2 as to ROBERT MULGREW, ELIZABETH MULGREW held on 9/18/2013. Court opened. Oath adminstered. Court instructions. Openings. Government's witnesses called, sworn and examined. Jurors dismissed for the day. Court Reporter ESR.(ap,) (Entered: 09/19/2013)
09/19/2013	74	Minute Entry for proceedings held before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II:Jury Trial Day 3 as to ROBERT MULGREW, ELIZABETH MULGREW held on 9/19/2013. Court opened. Defendant Robert Mulgrew sworn. Court - plea colloquy. Plea entered as to ROBERT MULGREW (1) Guilty Count 1,33. Plea accepted. Pre-sentence report ordered. Sentencing memo due 11/18/2013. Sentencing set for 12/16/2013 at 1 pm. Defendant to remain on previously imposed conditions of release. Government moved to dismiss all charges against defendant, Elizabeth Mulgrew. Court - motion granted. Charges against Elizabeth Mulgrew DISMISSED. Jurors thanked and dismissed.Court Reporter ESR.(ap,) (Entered: 09/19/2013)
09/19/2013		(Court only) ***Procedural Interval start as to ELIZABETH MULGREW (ap,) (Entered: 09/19/2013)
09/19/2013	75	Plea Document as to ROBERT MULGREW, ELIZABETH MULGREW (ap,) (Entered: 09/19/2013)

09/24/2013	76	ORDER AS TO ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT COUNTS 32-37 AND COUNT 43 IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED INDICTMENT AGAINST ELIZABETH MULGREW ARE DISMISSED. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 9/18/2013.9/24/20136 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 09/24/2013)
10/17/2013	77	TRANSCRIPT Guilty Plea held on 4/24/2013, before Judge C. Darnell Jones. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 11/7/2013. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 11/18/2013. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 1/15/2014. (ap,) (Entered: 10/17/2013)
10/17/2013	78	Notice of Filing of Official Transcript with Certificate of Service re 77 Transcript - PDF, 10/17/2013 Entered and Copies Emailed. (ap,) (Entered: 10/17/2013)
11/15/2013	79	TRANSCRIPT of PLEA HEARING as to ROBERT MULGREW held on 9/19/2013, before Judge C. DARNELL JONES, II. (tomg,) (Entered: 11/15/2013)
11/15/2013	80	MOTION to Seal Document *Sentencing Memorandum and Attachments* by LORRAINE DISPALDO , CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. (RECKER, CATHERINE) Modified on 11/19/2013 (ke,). (Entered: 11/15/2013)
11/15/2013	81	SENTENCING DOCUMENT as to LORRAINE DISPALDO. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. (ap,) (Entered: 11/18/2013)
11/15/2013	82	Sentencing Document by LORRAINE DISPALDO. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. (ap,) (Entered: 11/18/2013)
11/22/2013	83	SENTENCING MEMORANDUM CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by USA as to LORRAINE DISPALDO (GRAY, PAUL) (Entered: 11/22/2013)
11/25/2013	84	Minute Entry for proceedings held before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II: Sentencing held on 11/25/2013 for LORRAINE DISPALDO (2), Count(s) 1-30, 31, 42, IMPRISONMENT: 18 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE: 3 YEARS; Count(s) 38-41, IMPRISONMENT: 12 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE: 1 YEAR; SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: $3600, RESTITUTION: $120,865 AS TO ALL COUNTS.Court Reporter ESR.(ap,) (Entered: 11/25/2013)
12/02/2013	85	SENTENCING DOCUMENT as to LORRAINE DISPALDO. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. (ap,) (Entered: 12/02/2013)
12/02/2013	86	Sentencing Document by LORRAINE DISPALDO. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. (ap,) (Entered: 12/02/2013)
12/02/2013	87	JUDICIAL DOCUMENT AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 11/25/2013.12/2/2013

		ENTERED AND COPIES MAILED.(ap,) (Entered: 12/02/2013)
12/02/2013	88	IT IS ORDERED THAT THE EXECUTION OF PRISON SENTENCE FOR LORRAINE DISPALDO IS SUSPENDED UNTIL 1/7/2014, AT WHICH TIME DEFENDANT IS DIRECTED TO REPORT TO THE US MARSHAL'S OFFICE NO LATER THAN 9:30 AM. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 11/25/2013.1/7/2014 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 12/02/2013)
12/02/2013	89	JUDGMENT AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO (2), Count(s) 1-30, 31, 42, IMPRISONMENT: 18 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE: 3 YEARS; Count(s) 38-41, IMPRISONMENT: 12 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE: 1 YEAR; SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: $3600, RESTITUTION: $120,865 AS TO ALL COUNTS. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 11/26/2013.12/2/2013 Entered and Copies Mailed, E-Mailed BY CHAMBERS. (ap,) (Entered: 12/02/2013)
12/02/2013	90	NOTICE OF HEARING as to ROBERT MULGREW Sentencing set for 2/10/2014 11:00 AM before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. SENTENCING MEMORANDA (VIA EMAIL IN WORD FORM) DUE ON OR BEFORE 1/27/14.(aes,) (Entered: 12/02/2013)
12/05/2013	91	STIPULATION AND ORDER AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO THAT THE JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE AND ORDER TO SURRENDER BE CORRECTED AS FOLLOWS: THE EXECUTION OF PRISON SENTENCE IS SUSPENDED UNTIL 1/7/14 AT WHICH TIME DEFT. IS DIRECTED TO REPORT TO THE INSTITUTION DESIGNATED BY THE BOP AS NOTIFIED BY THE U.S. MARSHAL. THE INTEREST REQUIREMENT IS WAIVED FOR REPAYMENT OF THE RESTITUTION AMOUNT OF $109,178.00, ETC. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 12/4/13.12/5/13 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ke) (Entered: 12/05/2013)
12/05/2013	92	AMENDED JUDGMENT AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 12/4/13.12/5/13 Entered and Copies Mailed, E-Mailed BY CHAMBERS. (ke) (Entered: 12/05/2013)
12/06/2013	93	NOTICE OF APPEAL by LORRAINE DISPALDO re 92 Amended Judgment . (KIM CHUNG, LINDSAY) (Entered: 12/06/2013)
12/09/2013	94	Clerk's Notice to USCA re 93 Notice of Appeal - Final Judgment : (ap,) (Entered: 12/09/2013)
12/09/2013		USCA Appeal Fees received $ 505, receipt number 092841 as to LORRAINE DISPALDO re 93 Notice of Appeal - Final Judgment : (ap,) (Entered: 12/09/2013)
12/17/2013		NOTICE of Docketing Record on Appeal from USCA as to LORRAINE DISPALDO re 93 Notice of Appeal - Final Judgment filed by LORRAINE DISPALDO. USCA Case Number 13-4699 (ems)

		(Entered: 12/17/2013)
12/19/2013	95	TRANSCRIPT SENTENCING held on 11/25/2013, before Judge C. Darnell Jones, II. Court Reporter/Transcriber JUDI Y. OLSEN. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 1/9/2014. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 1/19/2014. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 3/19/2014. (ap,) (Entered: 12/19/2013)
12/19/2013	96	Notice of Filing of Official Transcript with Certificate of Service re 95 Transcript - PDF, 12/19/2013 Entered and Copies Emailed. (ap,) (Entered: 12/19/2013)
01/06/2014	97	PRO SE Letter as to LORRAINE DISPALDO Re: Request to stay sentence pending appeal. (ap,) (Entered: 01/07/2014)
01/06/2014	98	ORDER AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO THTA THE DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT THIS COURT STAY HER SENTENCE PENDING APPEAL IS DENIED; DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF SENTNECE IS DENIED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION; DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT THIS COURT APPOINT NEW APPELLATE COUNSEL IS DENIED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 1/6/2014.1/7/2014 Entered and Copies Mailed to pro se, E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 01/07/2014)
01/27/2014	99	SENTENCING DOCUMENT CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE as to ROBERT MULGREW (Attachments: # 1 sentencing exhibits)(GRAY, PAUL) (Entered: 01/27/2014)
01/29/2014	100	MOTION to Continue Sentencing by ROBERT MULGREW. (HALIM, ANGELA) (Entered: 01/29/2014)
01/30/2014	101	NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTION in case as to ROBERT MULGREW 100 MOTION to Continue Sentence : MOTION HEARING SET FOR 2/3/2014 10:30 AM BEFORE HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. (aes,) (Entered: 01/30/2014)
02/04/2014	102	NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTION in case as to ROBERT MULGREW 100 MOTION to Continue Sentence : MOTION HEARING SET FOR 2/6/2014 12:30 PM BEFORE HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. **rescheduled from 2/3/14(aes,) (Entered: 02/04/2014)
02/12/2014	103	ORDER as to ROBERT MULGREW (1) THAT THE DEFENDANT'S 100 MOTION TO CONTINUE IS GRANTED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE PARTIES SHALL HAVE UNTIL 2/17/2014 TO SUBMIT BRIEFING ON THE ISSUE OF CONTINUING DEFENDANT'S SENTENCING UNTIL AFTER HIS TRIAL IN US V. SULLIVAN (13-CR-39). Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 2/10/2014.2/12/2014 ENTERED AND COPIES E-

		MAILED.(ap,) (Entered: 02/12/2014)
04/02/2014	104	ORDER AS TO LORRAINE DISPALDO THAT THE CLERK OF COURT FOR THE ED OF PA RETURN THE PASSPORT OF THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT BY MAILING THE SAME TO HER AT THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS, ETC.. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 4/2/2014.4/2/2014 Entered and Copies E-Mailed; xc to Fiscal. (ap,) (*FILED IN ERROR - INCORRECT DEFENDANT*) Modified on 4/2/2014 (ap,). (Main Document 104 replaced on 4/2/2014) (ap,). (Entered: 04/02/2014)
04/02/2014	105	ORDER AS TO ELIZABETH MULGREW THAT THE CLERK OF COURT FOR THE ED OF PA RETURN THE PASSPORT OF THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT BY MAILING THE SAME TO HER AT THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS, ETC... Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 4/2/2014.4/2/2014 Entered and Copies E-Mailed, XC TO FISCAL. (ap,) (Entered: 04/02/2014)
04/17/2014	106	ORDER of USCA (certified copy) as to LORRAINE DISPALDO that the foregoing motion by Appellee to Enforce Appeallate Waiver and for Summary Affirmance is granted. The Motion to Withdraw as Counsel is granted. (ap,) (Entered: 04/17/2014)
04/17/2014		(Court only) ***Appeal Terminated as to LORRAINE DISPALDO re USCA Appeal Fees, 93 Notice of Appeal - Final Judgment filed by LORRAINE DISPALDO, 94 Clerks Notice to USCA, 106 USCA Mandate, USCA Notice of Docketing Record on Appeal (ap,) (Entered: 04/18/2014)
05/19/2014	107	NOTICE OF HEARING as to ROBERT MULGREW Sentencing set for 7/23/2014 11:00 AM before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. SENTENCING DOCUMENTS DUE ON OR BEFORE Friday 7/11/14. (aes,) (Entered: 05/19/2014)
07/11/2014	108	NOTICE OF HEARING as to ROBERT MULGREW Sentencing set for 8/6/2014 10:00 AM before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES II. SENTENCING MEMORANDA DUE VIA EMAIL IN WORD FORMAT ON OR BEFORE 7/30/14(aes,) (Entered: 07/11/2014)
08/07/2014	109	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW THAT COUNTS 2-30, COUNTS 34-37, AND COUNT 43 CONCERNING DEFT. ARE DISMISSED. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 8/5/14.8/7/14 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ke) (Entered: 08/07/2014)
08/07/2014	110	Minute Entryfor proceedings held before HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, IISentencing held on 8/6/14 for ROBERT MULGREW (1), Count(s) 1, IMPRISONMENT 30 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE 3 YEARS, SPECIAL ASSESSMENT $200.00 AS TO ALL COUNTS, RESTITUTION $199,274.00 AS TO ALL COUNTS; Count(s) 33, IMPRISONMENT 30 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE 1 YEAR. SURRENDER DATE 9/2/14. COUNTS 2-30, 34-37 & 43 DISMISSED.Court Reporter ESR.(ke)

		(Entered: 08/07/2014)
08/08/2014	111	ORDER AS TO ROBERT MULGREW THAT THE EARLIER ORDER OF 8/6/2014 DISMISSING THE REMAINING COUNTS IN THIS CSAE IS RESCINDED AND THIS ORDER TAKES ITS PLACE. IT IS ORDERED THAT COUNTS TWO THROUGH 32, COUNTS 34-37 AND COUNT 43 CONCERNING ROBERT MULGREW, ARE DISMISSED. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 8/7/2014.8/8/2014 Entered and Copies E-Mailed. (ap,) (Entered: 08/08/2014)
08/08/2014		(Court only) ***Procedural Interval start as to ROBERT MULGREW (ap,) (Entered: 08/08/2014)
08/08/2014	112	JUDGMENT AS TO ROBERT MULGREW (1), Count(s) 1, IMPRISONMENT 30 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE 3 YEARS; Count(s) 33, IMPRISONMENT 30 MONTHS, SUPERVISED RELEASE 1 YEAR; SPECIAL ASSESSMENT $200.00, RESTITUTION $199,274.00 AS TO ALL COUNTS; Count (s) 2-30, 31, 32, 34-37, 43, DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S MOTION;. Signed by HONORABLE C. DARNELL JONES, II on 8/8/2014.8/8/2014 Entered and Copies Mailed, E-Mailed BY CHAMBERS. (ap,) (Entered: 08/08/2014)
08/08/2014		(Court only) ***Procedural Interval start as to ROBERT MULGREW (ke) (Entered: 08/11/2014)

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

IN RE:

Robert Mulgrew Traffic Court Judge Philadelphia County	: : : :	3 JD 14

PROOF OF SERVICE

In compliance with Rule 122(D) of the Court of Judicial Discipline Rules of Procedure, on or about September 19, 2014, a copy of this *BOARD COMPLAINT* was sent by Certified Mail to Judge Mulgrew's counsel, Angela R. Halim, Esquire, who agreed to accept service on behalf of her client, Judge Mulgrew, to the following address: Angela R. Halim, Esquire, The Law Office of Angela Halim, 1500 Walnut Street, 21st Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19102.

Certified Mail No. 7161 7145 5373 0150 1429
Return Receipt Requested

Respectfully submitted,
ROBERT A. GRACI
Chief Counsel

DATE: September 19, 2014

BY: _____
Francis J. Puskas II
Deputy Chief Counsel
Pa. Supreme Court ID No. 76540
Judicial Conduct Board
Pennsylvania Judicial Center
601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 3500
P.O. Box 62525
Harrisburg, PA 17106
(717) 234-7911